

Appendix 3

Longer-Term Outcomes for Cohorts 1 and 3

As discussed in Appendix 1, we limited the analysis of outcomes in the main section of this report through the fourth quarter after entry, because outcomes after this point will be affected by the September 2000 implementation error which allowed control cases on W-2 to be eligible for the full pass-through. This error in treatment affected almost all control cases which were on W-2 from September 2000 to February 2001, in all, 1,398 control cases. This error not only affects our ability to determine the effect of the full pass-through policy during the time period of the error, but might also affect participant behavior even after the pass-through error was corrected. For this reason we confined our analyses in the main section of the paper to the time periods which preceded this error.

There is no easy correction to the data to allow us to look at longer-term outcomes without concern that our results are being influenced by the correction instead of by the effect of the experiment alone. One possibility we considered was to delete those control cases which were exposed to the incorrect treatment and for which any child support was paid during that time (they therefore actually received more money than they should have). But if we do so we are deleting cases from the sample based on the outcome of interest—child support receipt. If we then found that control cases received less child support than experimental cases we could not be sure if this was an effect of the experimental treatment or was simply a result of our deleting cases.

The second solution we considered was to delete all the cases from our sample which were exposed to the possibility of incorrect full pass-through, whether they received any child support or not. This solution is not quite so problematic as the first, but we would still be deleting almost all control cases on W-2 during that time period. Since W-2 receipt is one of our outcomes of interest, our results for that outcome would be subject to the same concern as the outcome for those who had received any child support. To the extent that W-2 receipt is associated with other outcomes of interest, such as child support receipt and payments, we would also be concerned about our findings on those outcomes. We know that W-2 receipt almost certainly is related to child support payments and receipt, so this second solution is not much better than the first. Since no deletion of cases could correct for the effects of this implementation error, we confined our main analyses to outcomes which occurred before the data error.

That being said, we were still interested in longer-term effects of the experiment, so we present in this appendix tables for all of the outcomes presented in the main section of the paper, followed for 16 quarters (4 years) past entry for Cohort 1 cases, and 12 quarters (3 years) past entry for Cohort 3 cases. Results through the 4th quarter after entry are the same as shown in the main section. For Cohort 1, results through the 8th quarter (and 2nd year) after entry occur before the implementation error described above; results after this point are likely affected by this error. For Cohort 3 only the results presented in the main section (through the 4th quarter and 1st year after entry) occur before the implementation error. Results for time periods that occur after the implementation error are quite problematic for the reasons stated above, so these longer-term estimates should be treated with quite a bit of caution. As such we will not describe all of the results presented, but there are a few common trends worth noting.

We note first that in the amount of child support received (Table A3.17), the outcome which reflects the mechanical effect of the experimental treatment, we can see the effects of the implementation error. The decline in the quarterly effect of the experiment as of the 10th quarter after entry for Cohort 1 cases is fairly dramatic and sustained, although the experimental effect does begin to be significant again in the 15th and 16th quarters after entry. The pattern for Cohort 3 cases is somewhat different; the

quarterly experimental effect loses significance in the 4th quarter after entry, becomes marginally significant in the 5th and 6th quarter after entry, eventually losing significance again. For Cohort 3 the implementation error occurred during either the 5th through 7th or the 6th through 8th quarters after entry. We should note that when examined at an annual level the mechanical effects of the experiment do remain significant throughout this period, reflecting the fact that the implementation error lasted for just 6 months, split across two years.

In the main section of this report, we found that the overall effect of the experiment on the father's likelihood of paying child support (Table A3.8) was marginally significant in the first year after entry. Among Cohort 1 cases this effect was significant in the second year after entry (and even in the third year after entry, although the third year includes some of the time during the implementation error). This finding confirms earlier results from the Phase 1 report, which showed that this effect was not significant in 1998 for Cohort 1 fathers, but was significant in 1999. These differences in the second and third years after entry appear for all the subgroups of Cohort 1 (Table A3.8). Note, though, that fathers in the experimental group in the later cohort were less likely to pay in the third year after entry.

Another finding of interest is that W-2 grant receipt (Table A3.19), which in Cohort 1 cases was significantly higher for experimental cases in the first year after entry, is not significantly different in subsequent years. On the other hand, our finding in Cohort 1 that mothers in the experimental group had higher incomes in the first year after entry persists and even increases in the second year (Table A3.31).

Finally, the implementation error should bias our findings toward *no* experimental effect, in that many cases in the control group were being treated as if they were in the experimental group. In this context, the findings in Table A3.1, which show that children of mothers in the experimental group in Cohort 3 were more likely to have paternity established, even in the second and third year after entry, is especially important.

Table A3.1: Paternity Establishment among Children without Legal Fathers at Entry

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,999) | Control Group (N=2,774) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=879) | Control Group (N=753) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 3.7% | 3.3% | 0.4% | 0.238 | 4.3% | 3.6% | 0.7% | 0.452 | 0.994 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 7.8 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 0.049 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 2.0 | 0.222 | 0.881 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 11.2 | 9.9 | 1.3 | 0.031 | 25.3 | 21.7 | 3.6 | 0.080 | 0.581 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 13.7 | 12.4 | 1.3 | 0.041 | 31.5 | 27.2 | 4.3 | 0.055 | 0.423 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 16.2 | 14.7 | 1.5 | 0.039 | 37.0 | 32.6 | 4.4 | 0.061 | 0.377 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 18.7 | 17.7 | 1.0 | 0.185 | 40.7 | 34.8 | 6.0 | 0.013 | 0.086 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 21.5 | 20.8 | 0.7 | 0.357 | 43.0 | 38.0 | 5.0 | 0.040 | 0.112 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 23.9 | 23.3 | 0.6 | 0.482 | 45.8 | 40.0 | 5.8 | 0.019 | 0.048 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 26.2 | 25.9 | 0.4 | 0.679 | 48.1 | 42.5 | 5.6 | 0.025 | 0.055 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 28.6 | 28.1 | 0.5 | 0.577 | 50.8 | 44.8 | 6.0 | 0.016 | 0.048 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 30.5 | 30.6 | -0.1 | 0.902 | 53.2 | 48.2 | 5.1 | 0.043 | 0.051 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 32.3 | 33.0 | -0.8 | 0.404 | 55.4 | 50.9 | 4.5 | 0.075 | 0.044 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 34.3 | 35.6 | -1.3 | 0.177 | 58.2 | 52.6 | 5.6 | 0.024 | 0.008 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 36.6 | 37.3 | -0.7 | 0.438 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 38.9 | 39.8 | -0.9 | 0.346 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 42.1 | 42.9 | -0.8 | 0.407 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 45.2 | 46.2 | -1.0 | 0.345 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 16.2% | 14.7% | 1.5% | 0.039 | 37.0% | 32.6% | 4.4% | 0.061 | 0.377 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 26.2 | 25.9 | 0.4 | 0.679 | 48.1 | 42.5 | 5.6 | 0.025 | 0.055 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 34.3 | 35.6 | -1.3 | 0.177 | 58.2 | 52.6 | 5.6 | 0.024 | 0.008 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 45.2 | 46.2 | -1.0 | 0.345 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.2: Paternity Establishment among Children without Legal Fathers at Entry, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1,270) | (N=471) | | | (N=698) | (N=629) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 29.1% | 22.3% | 6.8% | 0.006 | 39.4% | 37.2% | 2.2% | 0.417 | 0.153 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 41.5 | 33.2 | 8.4 | 0.002 | 50.4 | 46.8 | 3.6 | 0.185 | 0.311 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 49.1 | 43.1 | 5.9 | 0.037 | 59.7 | 56.2 | 3.5 | 0.195 | 0.640 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 55.9 | 49.1 | 6.8 | 0.017 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=1,548) | (N=305) | | | (N=92) | (N=99) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 17.1% | 12.2% | 4.8% | 0.022 | 28.3% | 36.5% | -8.2% | 0.323 | 0.289 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 28.2 | 21.9 | 6.3 | 0.015 | 43.4 | 50.3 | -6.9 | 0.440 | 0.316 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 36.3 | 32.4 | 4.0 | 0.166 | 64.3 | 59.5 | 4.8 | 0.571 | 0.758 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 51.5 | 50.1 | 1.4 | 0.652 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=8,142) | (N=1,628) | | | (N=359) | (N=287) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 14.0% | 12.8% | 1.2% | 0.151 | 27.2% | 19.8% | 7.4% | 0.021 | 0.184 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 23.2 | 22.6 | 0.6 | 0.548 | 35.9 | 30.4 | 5.5 | 0.131 | 0.311 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 31.2 | 32.4 | -1.2 | 0.315 | 47.2 | 41.8 | 5.5 | 0.156 | 0.086 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 42.2 | 43.5 | -1.3 | 0.290 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=2,634) | (N=720) | | | (N=354) | (N=351) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 24.8% | 22.0% | 2.8% | 0.128 | 46.9% | 33.8% | 13.1% | 0.001 | 0.042 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 34.1 | 32.5 | 1.6 | 0.435 | 55.6 | 42.4 | 13.2 | 0.002 | 0.020 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 39.7 | 41.4 | -1.8 | 0.424 | 62.9 | 51.4 | 11.5 | 0.005 | 0.009 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 46.2 | 47.3 | -1.1 | 0.632 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.3: Percentage of Mothers with Child Support Orders (Mothers with No Child Support Order in the Quarter of Entry)

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=5,872) | Control Group (N=1,624) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=702) | Control Group (N=733) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 4.6 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.248 | 7.7 | 9.9 | -2.2 | 0.137 | 0.066 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 9.4 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 0.062 | 17.8 | 17.8 | -0.1 | 0.975 | 0.283 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 12.5 | 10.9 | 1.6 | 0.078 | 24.5 | 26.2 | -1.8 | 0.457 | 0.093 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 14.5 | 14.2 | 0.3 | 0.761 | 28.6 | 30.9 | -2.3 | 0.359 | 0.313 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 17.1 | 17.0 | 0.1 | 0.912 | 32.6 | 33.0 | -0.4 | 0.881 | 0.774 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 19.8 | 19.3 | 0.5 | 0.690 | 34.9 | 36.7 | -1.8 | 0.494 | 0.371 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 22.5 | 21.6 | 0.8 | 0.493 | 38.0 | 39.1 | -1.1 | 0.693 | 0.465 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 24.9 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 0.410 | 39.3 | 40.3 | -1.0 | 0.704 | 0.486 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 27.2 | 26.0 | 1.2 | 0.351 | 40.1 | 43.5 | -3.4 | 0.216 | 0.129 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 28.6 | 28.1 | 0.5 | 0.696 | 41.4 | 44.5 | -3.1 | 0.250 | 0.236 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 30.2 | 29.8 | 0.4 | 0.771 | 41.7 | 45.4 | -3.8 | 0.170 | 0.174 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 31.6 | 30.7 | 0.9 | 0.512 | 44.3 | 49.2 | -4.9 | 0.077 | 0.066 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 33.1 | 32.2 | 1.0 | 0.488 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 34.5 | 33.9 | 0.6 | 0.668 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 35.7 | 34.9 | 0.8 | 0.570 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 37.2 | 36.2 | 1.0 | 0.508 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 15.7% | 15.2% | 0.5% | 0.641 | 30.9% | 32.3% | -1.5% | 0.564 | 0.440 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 26.5 | 26.0 | 0.5 | 0.711 | 41.6 | 43.3 | -1.7 | 0.525 | 0.499 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 34.4 | 34.1 | 0.3 | 0.843 | 46.5 | 52.1 | -5.6 | 0.044 | 0.060 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 40.1 | 39.4 | 0.7 | 0.613 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.4: Percentage of Mothers with Child Support Orders (Mothers with No Child Support Order in the Quarter of Entry), by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1,141) | (N=458) | | | (N=624) | (N=659) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 26.0% | 25.4% | 0.6% | 0.822 | 30.5% | 32.8% | -2.2% | 0.405 | 0.356 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 39.5 | 38.0 | 1.5 | 0.604 | 42.0 | 44.0 | -2.0 | 0.485 | 0.327 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 46.5 | 41.7 | 4.8 | 0.107 | 47.5 | 52.8 | -5.4 | 0.067 | 0.009 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 51.2 | 47.1 | 4.1 | 0.174 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=182) | (N=40) | | | (N=27) | (N=32) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 18.9% | 13.3% | 5.6% | 0.448 | 27.2% | 23.5% | 3.8% | 0.864 | 0.883 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 35.2 | 19.5 | 15.8 | 0.080 | 17.9 | 14.2 | 3.8 | 0.823 | 0.346 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 44.2 | 23.7 | 20.5 | 0.040 | 53.3 | 79.6 | -26.3 | 0.446 | 0.037 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 49.4 | 34.0 | 15.4 | 0.124 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=3,547) | (N=960) | | | (N=272) | (N=304) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 12.9% | 13.5% | -0.6% | 0.631 | 25.9% | 25.2% | 0.7% | 0.858 | 0.792 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 23.1 | 22.6 | 0.5 | 0.764 | 34.6 | 34.2 | 0.3 | 0.938 | 0.984 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 30.8 | 30.3 | 0.5 | 0.794 | 37.7 | 42.2 | -4.5 | 0.300 | 0.306 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 36.7 | 36.0 | 0.8 | 0.685 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=1,610) | (N=494) | | | (N=326) | (N=339) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 26.5% | 24.1% | 2.3% | 0.328 | 38.1% | 36.9% | 1.2% | 0.767 | 0.725 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 38.5 | 35.2 | 3.4 | 0.211 | 47.6 | 51.3 | -3.7 | 0.370 | 0.168 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 44.0 | 40.9 | 3.1 | 0.260 | 52.0 | 58.1 | -6.1 | 0.137 | 0.054 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 47.7 | 44.8 | 2.9 | 0.293 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.5: Amounts of Child Support Owed to Custodial Mothers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$333 | \$332 | \$0 | 0.952 | \$269 | \$239 | \$29 | 0.024 | 0.043 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 350 | 349 | 0 | 0.940 | 317 | 298 | 19 | 0.212 | 0.187 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 376 | 365 | 11 | 0.114 | 376 | 354 | 22 | 0.240 | 0.502 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 393 | 384 | 9 | 0.208 | 414 | 394 | 20 | 0.320 | 0.556 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 407 | 401 | 6 | 0.429 | 432 | 411 | 21 | 0.303 | 0.435 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 412 | 409 | 3 | 0.717 | 467 | 434 | 33 | 0.126 | 0.094 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 431 | 426 | 4 | 0.604 | 485 | 456 | 29 | 0.191 | 0.208 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 442 | 436 | 6 | 0.462 | 500 | 472 | 29 | 0.213 | 0.276 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 452 | 449 | 3 | 0.708 | 507 | 477 | 30 | 0.196 | 0.198 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 457 | 456 | 1 | 0.929 | 512 | 498 | 14 | 0.555 | 0.520 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 470 | 475 | -4 | 0.634 | 523 | 505 | 18 | 0.439 | 0.316 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 479 | 485 | -6 | 0.539 | 507 | 504 | 3 | 0.912 | 0.712 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 491 | 490 | 1 | 0.934 | 509 | 516 | -7 | 0.754 | 0.718 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 496 | 499 | -3 | 0.714 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 509 | 510 | -1 | 0.934 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 514 | 517 | -3 | 0.758 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 517 | 523 | -5 | 0.598 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,526 | \$1,499 | \$27 | 0.312 | \$1,539 | \$1,457 | \$82 | 0.228 | 0.378 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,737 | 1,720 | 17 | 0.598 | 1,960 | 1,839 | 121 | 0.160 | 0.165 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,897 | 1,905 | -8 | 0.809 | 2,050 | 2,023 | 27 | 0.755 | 0.669 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 2,037 | 2,049 | -12 | 0.737 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.6: Amounts of Child Support Owed to Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,155 | \$1,081 | \$74 | 0.306 | \$1,454 | \$1,406 | \$47 | 0.532 | 0.780 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,518 | 1,466 | 52 | 0.549 | 1,888 | 1,818 | 71 | 0.467 | 0.936 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,711 | 1,662 | 49 | 0.594 | 1,990 | 1,993 | -3 | 0.973 | 0.616 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,875 | 1,800 | 75 | 0.435 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$3,226 | \$3,133 | \$93 | 0.312 | \$3,782 | \$3,315 | \$467 | 0.064 | 0.030 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 3,357 | 3,263 | 94 | 0.387 | 4,138 | 3,554 | 584 | 0.060 | 0.011 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 3,411 | 3,318 | 93 | 0.421 | 4,024 | 3,657 | 367 | 0.205 | 0.124 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 3,430 | 3,385 | 44 | 0.697 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,469 | \$1,442 | \$27 | 0.403 | \$1,663 | \$1,579 | \$84 | 0.415 | 0.393 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,652 | 1,635 | 17 | 0.656 | 2,004 | 1,834 | 170 | 0.207 | 0.127 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,812 | 1,816 | -4 | 0.918 | 2,060 | 1,963 | 97 | 0.481 | 0.431 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,944 | 1,942 | 1 | 0.978 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,753 | \$1,723 | \$29 | 0.631 | \$1,906 | \$1,887 | \$20 | 0.868 | 0.967 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 2,073 | 2,023 | 50 | 0.488 | 2,422 | 2,326 | 95 | 0.524 | 0.627 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 2,220 | 2,194 | 26 | 0.741 | 2,552 | 2,472 | 80 | 0.593 | 0.626 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 2,356 | 2,363 | -6 | 0.938 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.7: Percentage of Legal Fathers Paying Child Support

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=10,908) | Control Group (N=2,995) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=798) | Control Group (N=685) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 22.5% | 21.4% | 1.1% | 0.275 | 34.7% | 34.3% | 0.5% | 0.884 | 0.683 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 31.3 | 29.8 | 1.6 | 0.145 | 43.6 | 44.8 | -1.3 | 0.675 | 0.407 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 33.2 | 33.4 | -0.2 | 0.851 | 43.7 | 47.7 | -4.0 | 0.177 | 0.229 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 32.5 | 31.7 | 0.8 | 0.481 | 45.5 | 50.1 | -4.6 | 0.119 | 0.103 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 34.9 | 32.8 | 2.1 | 0.046 | 47.4 | 50.9 | -3.5 | 0.227 | 0.061 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 37.1 | 35.5 | 1.6 | 0.140 | 45.4 | 48.1 | -2.7 | 0.351 | 0.175 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 38.9 | 36.7 | 2.2 | 0.048 | 47.0 | 47.2 | -0.1 | 0.969 | 0.519 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 37.9 | 35.4 | 2.5 | 0.019 | 44.9 | 46.2 | -1.3 | 0.663 | 0.185 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 40.1 | 38.0 | 2.1 | 0.051 | 47.0 | 47.2 | -0.3 | 0.926 | 0.472 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 42.9 | 39.9 | 3.0 | 0.007 | 45.8 | 49.8 | -4.0 | 0.157 | 0.018 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 40.9 | 39.2 | 1.7 | 0.124 | 41.7 | 46.5 | -4.8 | 0.088 | 0.023 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 38.9 | 38.2 | 0.7 | 0.489 | 41.2 | 44.2 | -3.0 | 0.284 | 0.178 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 37.9 | 37.1 | 0.8 | 0.467 | 43.6 | 47.1 | -3.5 | 0.207 | 0.108 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 38.8 | 39.3 | -0.5 | 0.615 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 38.9 | 38.7 | 0.2 | 0.854 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 37.0 | 36.7 | 0.3 | 0.793 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 36.8 | 36.4 | 0.4 | 0.721 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 52.4% | 50.4% | 2.0% | 0.087 | 64.6% | 67.9% | -3.3% | 0.242 | 0.138 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 56.6 | 54.0 | 2.6 | 0.020 | 61.3 | 65.3 | -4.0 | 0.153 | 0.047 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 58.1 | 54.9 | 3.2 | 0.003 | 57.5 | 63.0 | -5.5 | 0.048 | 0.002 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 53.3 | 53.7 | -0.4 | 0.722 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.8: Percentage of Legal Fathers Paying Child Support, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=720) | (N=296) | | | (N=560) | (N=518) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 61.0% | 51.7% | 9.3% | 0.024 | 66.3% | 70.5% | -4.3% | 0.189 | 0.010 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 62.2 | 53.9 | 8.3 | 0.034 | 64.0 | 66.7 | -2.7 | 0.409 | 0.024 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 63.5 | 51.0 | 12.5 | 0.001 | 59.4 | 65.4 | -6.0 | 0.062 | 0.000 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 58.2 | 49.2 | 9.0 | 0.019 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=3,229) | (N=814) | | | (N=311) | (N=289) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 94.7% | 91.8% | 2.9% | 0.012 | 99.3% | 99.2% | 0.1% | 0.868 | 0.483 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 91.1 | 87.1 | 3.9 | 0.008 | 90.5 | 91.7 | -1.2 | 0.669 | 0.064 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 87.5 | 83.6 | 3.9 | 0.020 | 86.3 | 88.0 | -1.6 | 0.620 | 0.134 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 80.7 | 81.2 | -0.5 | 0.796 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=6,632) | (N=1,829) | | | (N=420) | (N=364) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 48.9% | 46.9% | 2.1% | 0.159 | 66.9% | 66.9% | 0.0% | 0.993 | 0.739 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 54.3 | 51.4 | 2.9 | 0.042 | 65.4 | 66.2 | -0.8 | 0.830 | 0.474 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 56.3 | 52.3 | 4.0 | 0.005 | 58.1 | 63.2 | -5.0 | 0.204 | 0.014 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 51.0 | 50.6 | 0.3 | 0.819 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=2,692) | (N=798) | | | (N=454) | (N=384) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 67.4% | 64.1% | 3.3% | 0.124 | 70.8% | 75.0% | -4.2% | 0.238 | 0.117 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 69.0 | 65.0 | 4.0 | 0.052 | 65.0 | 71.2 | -6.2 | 0.081 | 0.021 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 67.3 | 62.8 | 4.4 | 0.034 | 62.4 | 68.4 | -6.0 | 0.094 | 0.012 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 63.5 | 63.7 | -0.2 | 0.908 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.9: Amounts of Child Support Paid by Legal Fathers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=10,908) | Control Group (N=2,995) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=798) | Control Group (N=685) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$167 | \$160 | \$7 | 0.365 | \$303 | \$269 | \$34 | 0.196 | 0.151 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 214 | 207 | 7 | 0.440 | 346 | 289 | 57 | 0.232 | 0.035 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 216 | 213 | 2 | 0.812 | 302 | 279 | 23 | 0.283 | 0.331 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 185 | 173 | 12 | 0.071 | 347 | 311 | 37 | 0.167 | 0.173 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 216 | 202 | 14 | 0.097 | 379 | 390 | -11 | 0.781 | 0.514 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 250 | 255 | -5 | 0.608 | 357 | 335 | 22 | 0.440 | 0.226 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 267 | 251 | 16 | 0.134 | 348 | 334 | 15 | 0.705 | 0.901 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 219 | 200 | 19 | 0.038 | 348 | 317 | 31 | 0.260 | 0.454 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 238 | 219 | 19 | 0.047 | 390 | 391 | -1 | 0.987 | 0.619 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 273 | 249 | 24 | 0.023 | 394 | 401 | -7 | 0.834 | 0.558 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 274 | 276 | -2 | 0.862 | 326 | 339 | -13 | 0.625 | 0.724 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 222 | 219 | 3 | 0.757 | 327 | 346 | -19 | 0.499 | 0.524 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 235 | 221 | 13 | 0.156 | 366 | 423 | -57 | 0.123 | 0.025 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 262 | 269 | -6 | 0.552 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 267 | 256 | 11 | 0.338 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 232 | 222 | 10 | 0.289 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 231 | 229 | 3 | 0.770 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$830 | \$795 | \$36 | 0.150 | \$1,374 | \$1,268 | \$106 | 0.282 | 0.200 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 973 | 925 | 48 | 0.100 | 1,443 | 1,376 | 67 | 0.514 | 0.601 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,003 | 965 | 38 | 0.218 | 1,413 | 1,509 | -96 | 0.364 | 0.233 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 992 | 975 | 17 | 0.599 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.10: Amounts of Child Support Paid by Legal Fathers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=720) | (N=296) | | | (N=560) | (N=518) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,371 | \$1,072 | \$299 | 0.009 | \$1,456 | \$1,390 | \$66 | 0.525 | 0.185 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,574 | 1,342 | 232 | 0.099 | 1,540 | 1,550 | -10 | 0.940 | 0.221 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,569 | 1,357 | 212 | 0.137 | 1,544 | 1,746 | -202 | 0.135 | 0.041 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,531 | 1,440 | 91 | 0.548 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=3,229) | (N=814) | | | (N=311) | (N=289) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$2,396 | \$2,255 | \$141 | 0.090 | \$2,892 | \$2,634 | \$258 | 0.173 | 0.285 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 2,463 | 2,231 | 232 | 0.017 | 3,036 | 2,571 | 465 | 0.058 | 0.142 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 2,318 | 2,245 | 74 | 0.458 | 2,938 | 2,654 | 284 | 0.224 | 0.148 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 2,197 | 2,086 | 111 | 0.277 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=6,632) | (N=1,829) | | | (N=420) | (N=364) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$788 | \$732 | \$56 | 0.077 | \$1,328 | \$1,246 | \$81 | 0.474 | 0.408 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 923 | 860 | 64 | 0.085 | 1,484 | 1,333 | 151 | 0.309 | 0.231 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 943 | 882 | 61 | 0.120 | 1,419 | 1,500 | -81 | 0.580 | 0.317 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 929 | 888 | 41 | 0.301 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=2,692) | (N=798) | | | (N=454) | (N=384) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,264 | \$1,153 | \$111 | 0.058 | \$1,635 | \$1,620 | \$15 | 0.907 | 0.498 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,463 | 1,317 | 146 | 0.038 | 1,770 | 1,733 | 37 | 0.813 | 0.629 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,464 | 1,338 | 126 | 0.086 | 1,782 | 1,784 | -2 | 0.990 | 0.579 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,455 | 1,398 | 57 | 0.467 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.11: Percentage of Custodial Mothers for Whom Child Support Was Paid

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 15.1% | 14.5% | 0.6% | 0.474 | 13.2% | 13.6% | -0.4% | 0.848 | 0.602 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 25.1 | 23.9 | 1.2 | 0.220 | 24.8 | 25.1 | -0.3 | 0.889 | 0.522 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 29.6 | 28.8 | 0.7 | 0.494 | 32.6 | 36.3 | -3.7 | 0.128 | 0.086 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 30.1 | 29.4 | 0.6 | 0.527 | 38.7 | 40.0 | -1.3 | 0.597 | 0.514 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 33.8 | 32.2 | 1.6 | 0.134 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.988 | 0.456 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 36.4 | 35.2 | 1.2 | 0.260 | 42.6 | 43.2 | -0.6 | 0.805 | 0.379 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 39.2 | 37.8 | 1.4 | 0.198 | 44.5 | 43.0 | 1.5 | 0.526 | 0.961 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 38.8 | 37.8 | 0.9 | 0.367 | 43.8 | 43.4 | 0.4 | 0.880 | 0.686 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 41.6 | 41.0 | 0.6 | 0.575 | 46.7 | 44.9 | 1.8 | 0.448 | 0.726 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 45.1 | 43.5 | 1.6 | 0.135 | 44.3 | 45.7 | -1.4 | 0.545 | 0.233 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 43.6 | 42.8 | 0.8 | 0.444 | 42.5 | 44.3 | -1.7 | 0.465 | 0.274 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 42.0 | 41.7 | 0.3 | 0.761 | 40.4 | 42.6 | -2.2 | 0.348 | 0.269 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 42.4 | 42.2 | 0.2 | 0.833 | 44.3 | 47.2 | -2.8 | 0.224 | 0.234 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 43.7 | 44.8 | -1.1 | 0.277 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 43.9 | 44.8 | -1.0 | 0.349 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 42.6 | 42.5 | 0.1 | 0.916 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 42.7 | 42.6 | 0.1 | 0.895 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 50.1% | 47.5% | 2.7% | 0.022 | 58.6% | 59.3% | -0.7% | 0.793 | 0.258 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 57.3 | 55.9 | 1.5 | 0.178 | 60.8 | 62.4 | -1.6 | 0.491 | 0.227 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 61.3 | 59.5 | 1.8 | 0.081 | 57.7 | 60.1 | -2.4 | 0.299 | 0.094 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 59.6 | 59.4 | 0.2 | 0.867 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

TableA3.12: Percentage of Custodial Mothers for Whom Child Support Was Paid, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 41.7% | 37.7% | 4.0% | 0.164 | 55.3% | 57.4% | -2.1% | 0.449 | 0.099 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 53.0 | 48.3 | 4.7 | 0.087 | 58.6 | 59.6 | -0.9 | 0.715 | 0.114 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 58.6 | 50.4 | 8.1 | 0.003 | 55.5 | 58.8 | -3.3 | 0.196 | 0.002 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 57.1 | 50.3 | 6.8 | 0.009 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 96.5% | 94.3% | 2.2% | 0.019 | 99.9% | 99.8% | 0.1% | 0.535 | 0.919 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 94.4 | 91.9 | 2.4 | 0.035 | 96.9 | 97.9 | -1.0 | 0.466 | 0.203 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 92.4 | 90.3 | 2.2 | 0.094 | 92.8 | 96.0 | -3.2 | 0.143 | 0.085 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 88.4 | 88.3 | 0.1 | 0.960 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 45.6% | 42.8% | 2.9% | 0.059 | 61.8% | 58.8% | 3.1% | 0.442 | 0.865 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 53.3 | 52.2 | 1.1 | 0.450 | 60.7 | 61.4 | -0.6 | 0.863 | 0.632 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 58.5 | 55.6 | 2.9 | 0.036 | 54.8 | 57.3 | -2.5 | 0.506 | 0.093 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 56.8 | 55.2 | 1.5 | 0.259 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 68.0% | 63.9% | 4.1% | 0.051 | 73.9% | 73.2% | 0.7% | 0.815 | 0.457 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 71.6 | 67.9 | 3.7 | 0.057 | 72.7 | 74.2 | -1.5 | 0.612 | 0.182 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 71.8 | 69.8 | 2.0 | 0.280 | 69.9 | 72.5 | -2.6 | 0.388 | 0.211 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 69.3 | 69.4 | -0.2 | 0.936 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

Table A3.13: Amounts of Child Support Paid on Behalf of Custodial Mothers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$164 | \$158 | \$6 | 0.418 | \$227 | \$196 | \$31 | 0.093 | 0.084 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 212 | 210 | 3 | 0.740 | 264 | 220 | 45 | 0.159 | 0.041 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 223 | 223 | 0 | 0.984 | 255 | 237 | 18 | 0.263 | 0.400 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 200 | 196 | 5 | 0.508 | 312 | 281 | 31 | 0.121 | 0.129 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 240 | 228 | 12 | 0.157 | 366 | 368 | -2 | 0.952 | 0.572 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 286 | 295 | -10 | 0.366 | 357 | 329 | 28 | 0.223 | 0.169 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 305 | 298 | 7 | 0.513 | 348 | 327 | 22 | 0.524 | 0.681 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 255 | 241 | 14 | 0.130 | 372 | 324 | 47 | 0.050 | 0.179 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 285 | 277 | 8 | 0.421 | 415 | 395 | 19 | 0.485 | 0.631 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 332 | 320 | 13 | 0.264 | 403 | 385 | 18 | 0.494 | 0.759 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 335 | 345 | -10 | 0.411 | 343 | 340 | 3 | 0.887 | 0.714 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 273 | 273 | 0 | 0.970 | 353 | 362 | -9 | 0.712 | 0.692 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 299 | 290 | 9 | 0.394 | 403 | 437 | -34 | 0.260 | 0.135 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 348 | 350 | -1 | 0.935 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 348 | 330 | 18 | 0.145 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 305 | 291 | 14 | 0.184 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 315 | 302 | 13 | 0.249 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$876 | \$856 | \$20 | 0.408 | \$1,197 | \$1,105 | \$92 | 0.191 | 0.209 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,130 | 1,111 | 20 | 0.524 | 1,491 | 1,376 | 116 | 0.175 | 0.246 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,239 | 1,227 | 12 | 0.720 | 1,502 | 1,524 | -22 | 0.802 | 0.727 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,316 | 1,272 | 44 | 0.232 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

Table A3.14: Amounts of Child Support Paid on Behalf of Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$892 | \$800 | \$92 | 0.153 | \$1,135 | \$1,097 | \$37 | 0.594 | 0.660 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,288 | 1,189 | 99 | 0.263 | 1,452 | 1,397 | 55 | 0.565 | 0.747 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,396 | 1,344 | 52 | 0.574 | 1,487 | 1,550 | -63 | 0.519 | 0.407 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,439 | 1,353 | 86 | 0.393 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$2,826 | \$2,754 | \$72 | 0.460 | \$3,703 | \$3,123 | \$580 | 0.035 | 0.007 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 3,071 | 2,948 | 123 | 0.292 | 3,900 | 3,391 | 509 | 0.103 | 0.033 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 2,977 | 2,996 | -19 | 0.874 | 3,809 | 3,414 | 396 | 0.176 | 0.052 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 2,948 | 2,866 | 82 | 0.524 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$814 | \$762 | \$52 | 0.081 | \$1,279 | \$1,160 | \$119 | 0.206 | 0.283 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,022 | 993 | 29 | 0.450 | 1,608 | 1,365 | 243 | 0.083 | 0.044 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,114 | 1,089 | 25 | 0.550 | 1,558 | 1,512 | 46 | 0.732 | 0.878 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,188 | 1,138 | 50 | 0.264 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,342 | \$1,248 | \$94 | 0.100 | \$1,613 | \$1,639 | \$-27 | 0.807 | 0.296 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,765 | 1,657 | 108 | 0.146 | 2,081 | 2,010 | 71 | 0.640 | 0.830 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,867 | 1,807 | 60 | 0.455 | 2,159 | 2,086 | 73 | 0.625 | 0.903 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,945 | 1,852 | 93 | 0.294 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.15: Percentage of Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Support

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 9.9% | 9.4% | 0.5% | 0.464 | 7.0% | 8.0% | -1.0% | 0.451 | 0.313 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 18.2 | 17.4 | 0.8 | 0.345 | 19.0 | 19.7 | -0.8 | 0.700 | 0.396 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 23.2 | 22.3 | 0.9 | 0.337 | 26.9 | 28.8 | -1.9 | 0.394 | 0.179 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 25.4 | 24.4 | 1.0 | 0.287 | 32.0 | 33.2 | -1.2 | 0.610 | 0.317 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 28.5 | 26.7 | 1.8 | 0.059 | 35.7 | 37.0 | -1.2 | 0.594 | 0.153 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 30.4 | 28.9 | 1.5 | 0.126 | 37.0 | 36.3 | 0.6 | 0.782 | 0.577 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 32.4 | 30.8 | 1.6 | 0.110 | 38.9 | 37.1 | 1.8 | 0.424 | 0.975 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 33.5 | 32.4 | 1.1 | 0.269 | 39.1 | 37.6 | 1.5 | 0.516 | 0.951 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 35.7 | 35.4 | 0.3 | 0.762 | 40.2 | 39.1 | 1.0 | 0.653 | 0.906 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 38.2 | 37.4 | 0.8 | 0.426 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 0.0 | 0.996 | 0.659 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 37.0 | 37.2 | -0.2 | 0.840 | 36.8 | 37.3 | -0.5 | 0.823 | 0.746 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 37.1 | 36.5 | 0.6 | 0.557 | 35.9 | 37.0 | -1.1 | 0.623 | 0.391 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 37.3 | 37.6 | -0.3 | 0.751 | 39.0 | 40.6 | -1.6 | 0.475 | 0.547 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 37.8 | 38.9 | -1.1 | 0.285 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 37.9 | 38.4 | -0.6 | 0.574 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 37.2 | 37.8 | -0.6 | 0.571 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 37.0 | 37.1 | -0.1 | 0.950 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 39.8% | 37.2% | 2.6% | 0.022 | 47.3% | 49.6% | -2.3% | 0.353 | 0.062 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 48.5 | 46.9 | 1.6 | 0.141 | 52.2 | 53.4 | -1.2 | 0.609 | 0.252 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 52.9 | 52.4 | 0.5 | 0.639 | 49.2 | 51.9 | -2.7 | 0.257 | 0.177 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 51.7 | 52.1 | -0.5 | 0.654 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

Table A3.16: Percentage of Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Support, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 34.4% | 29.2% | 5.1% | 0.053 | 43.8% | 48.8% | -5.0% | 0.067 | 0.006 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 45.3 | 39.2 | 6.1 | 0.024 | 50.7 | 52.1 | -1.3 | 0.602 | 0.041 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 50.1 | 44.2 | 5.9 | 0.030 | 47.7 | 51.8 | -4.1 | 0.111 | 0.006 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 50.0 | 44.3 | 5.8 | 0.028 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 91.5% | 91.1% | 0.4% | 0.757 | 94.8% | 93.7% | 1.1% | 0.612 | 0.659 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 89.9 | 86.7 | 3.2 | 0.035 | 91.9 | 92.8 | -0.9 | 0.732 | 0.467 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 87.3 | 86.4 | 0.9 | 0.585 | 87.4 | 88.4 | -1.0 | 0.770 | 0.714 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 81.9 | 83.1 | -1.2 | 0.520 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 35.6% | 33.4% | 2.2% | 0.115 | 50.4% | 50.9% | -0.5% | 0.901 | 0.520 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 44.8 | 43.3 | 1.5 | 0.289 | 51.5 | 51.4 | 0.2 | 0.966 | 0.702 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 50.1 | 48.5 | 1.6 | 0.243 | 47.8 | 47.2 | 0.6 | 0.868 | 0.569 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 48.4 | 48.1 | 0.3 | 0.844 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 56.8% | 54.5% | 2.3% | 0.301 | 62.3% | 60.6% | 1.7% | 0.616 | 0.852 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 61.3 | 59.1 | 2.3 | 0.274 | 64.3 | 64.4 | -0.1 | 0.967 | 0.523 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 62.5 | 62.1 | 0.4 | 0.828 | 59.7 | 63.0 | -3.3 | 0.312 | 0.291 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 60.6 | 62.1 | -1.4 | 0.465 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.17: Amounts of Child Support Received by Custodial Mothers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$119 | \$90 | \$29 | <.0001 | \$162 | \$124 | \$38 | 0.002 | 0.318 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 141 | 102 | 39 | <.0001 | 191 | 140 | 51 | 0.000 | 0.288 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 162 | 130 | 32 | <.0001 | 221 | 190 | 31 | 0.036 | 0.924 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 177 | 147 | 29 | <.0001 | 281 | 231 | 50 | 0.006 | 0.188 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 194 | 162 | 32 | <.0001 | 288 | 268 | 20 | 0.358 | 0.484 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 207 | 177 | 30 | <.0001 | 289 | 258 | 30 | 0.115 | 0.959 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 216 | 189 | 27 | 0.000 | 319 | 268 | 51 | 0.057 | 0.275 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 217 | 186 | 31 | <.0001 | 320 | 274 | 46 | 0.029 | 0.470 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 234 | 204 | 30 | 0.000 | 334 | 298 | 36 | 0.110 | 0.756 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 261 | 227 | 34 | <.0001 | 324 | 296 | 27 | 0.201 | 0.774 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 248 | 241 | 7 | 0.400 | 311 | 287 | 25 | 0.233 | 0.453 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 242 | 230 | 12 | 0.156 | 311 | 314 | -3 | 0.895 | 0.452 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 253 | 237 | 16 | 0.059 | 346 | 350 | -5 | 0.858 | 0.372 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 268 | 254 | 14 | 0.139 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 268 | 251 | 17 | 0.075 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 262 | 243 | 20 | 0.034 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 265 | 243 | 22 | 0.017 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$675 | \$541 | \$134 | <.0001 | \$981 | \$830 | \$152 | 0.007 | 0.685 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 874 | 756 | 118 | <.0001 | 1,262 | 1,099 | 163 | 0.033 | 0.540 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,004 | 936 | 68 | 0.019 | 1,292 | 1,247 | 44 | 0.579 | 0.732 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,063 | 991 | 72 | 0.024 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.18: Amounts of Child Support Received by Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$788 | \$606 | \$182 | 0.002 | \$960 | \$844 | \$116 | 0.063 | 0.519 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,105 | 921 | 184 | 0.021 | 1,238 | 1,139 | 100 | 0.242 | 0.461 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,219 | 1,094 | 125 | 0.142 | 1,287 | 1,283 | 3 | 0.969 | 0.305 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,274 | 1,133 | 140 | 0.129 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$2,250 | \$1,779 | \$471 | <.0001 | \$3,050 | \$2,393 | \$657 | 0.002 | 0.196 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 2,444 | 2,100 | 344 | 0.001 | 3,374 | 2,677 | 697 | 0.011 | 0.032 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 2,515 | 2,333 | 183 | 0.091 | 3,285 | 2,872 | 413 | 0.127 | 0.183 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 2,474 | 2,320 | 153 | 0.180 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$619 | \$425 | \$194 | <.0001 | \$1,088 | \$841 | \$247 | 0.004 | 0.241 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 782 | 640 | 142 | <.0001 | 1,344 | 1,052 | 292 | 0.018 | 0.084 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 892 | 807 | 85 | 0.015 | 1,320 | 1,257 | 63 | 0.613 | 0.789 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 950 | 846 | 104 | 0.007 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$1,092 | \$908 | \$183 | 0.000 | \$1,373 | \$1,253 | \$120 | 0.219 | 0.520 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 1,409 | 1,208 | 201 | 0.002 | 1,787 | 1,614 | 173 | 0.204 | 0.846 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 1,552 | 1,433 | 119 | 0.099 | 1,873 | 1,730 | 143 | 0.305 | 0.869 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 1,606 | 1,520 | 86 | 0.271 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.19: Custodial Mothers Receiving W-2 Grants

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 68.3% | 69.4% | -1.1% | 0.306 | 71.3% | 72.0% | -0.7% | 0.763 | 0.797 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 75.4 | 77.4 | -1.9 | 0.051 | 75.4 | 74.9 | 0.4 | 0.837 | 0.282 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 57.0 | 58.2 | -1.3 | 0.247 | 35.7 | 34.5 | 1.2 | 0.572 | 0.249 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 42.3 | 42.5 | -0.2 | 0.851 | 21.1 | 20.9 | 0.2 | 0.905 | 0.691 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 33.2 | 34.6 | -1.4 | 0.162 | 18.6 | 17.1 | 1.5 | 0.362 | 0.091 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 28.2 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 0.958 | 15.2 | 14.5 | 0.7 | 0.630 | 0.570 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 24.6 | 24.5 | 0.1 | 0.914 | 12.8 | 13.9 | -1.1 | 0.440 | 0.533 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 21.5 | 21.3 | 0.2 | 0.830 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 0.313 | 0.323 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 18.9 | 17.4 | 1.4 | 0.061 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 0.898 | 0.643 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 16.5 | 15.8 | 0.7 | 0.334 | 12.0 | 13.0 | -1.0 | 0.477 | 0.412 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 15.4 | 14.2 | 1.2 | 0.088 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 1.1 | 0.448 | 0.956 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 14.7 | 13.8 | 0.9 | 0.209 | 10.2 | 10.9 | -0.7 | 0.607 | 0.306 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 13.2 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 0.937 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 1.0 | 0.430 | 0.478 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 12.2 | 13.3 | -1.1 | 0.088 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 12.5 | 13.2 | -0.8 | 0.245 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 13.1 | 12.9 | 0.2 | 0.769 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 13.2 | 12.5 | 0.7 | 0.270 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 82.8% | 84.8% | -2.0% | 0.014 | 79.8% | 78.9% | 0.9% | 0.633 | 0.143 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 38.6 | 38.3 | 0.3 | 0.771 | 24.2 | 24.3 | -0.1 | 0.978 | 0.933 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 26.0 | 25.8 | 0.2 | 0.827 | 21.0 | 21.6 | -0.7 | 0.714 | 0.739 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 22.4 | 22.8 | -0.4 | 0.662 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.20: Custodial Mothers Receiving W-2 Grants, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 84.6% | 88.2% | -3.6% | 0.055 | 80.9% | 79.7% | 1.2% | 0.559 | 0.053 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 25.3 | 23.2 | 2.2 | 0.336 | 23.1 | 24.2 | -1.0 | 0.611 | 0.278 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 16.3 | 16.8 | -0.5 | 0.793 | 20.8 | 20.4 | 0.4 | 0.853 | 0.701 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 15.9 | 16.0 | -0.1 | 0.936 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 72.4% | 77.7% | -5.3% | 0.027 | 74.0% | 64.8% | 9.2% | 0.082 | 0.007 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 29.3 | 30.6 | -1.3 | 0.579 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 0.2 | 0.966 | 0.594 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 19.2 | 23.1 | -3.9 | 0.046 | 11.2 | 12.6 | -1.4 | 0.654 | 0.806 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 17.4 | 17.7 | -0.3 | 0.876 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 94.6% | 96.0% | -1.4% | 0.009 | 89.3% | 89.3% | 0.0% | 0.991 | 0.362 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 50.4 | 50.9 | -0.5 | 0.700 | 32.4 | 30.8 | 1.6 | 0.600 | 0.484 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 32.5 | 33.5 | -1.0 | 0.415 | 25.9 | 25.8 | 0.1 | 0.967 | 0.665 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 26.6 | 27.9 | -1.3 | 0.263 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 56.5% | 57.6% | -1.1% | 0.615 | 71.8% | 66.8% | 5.0% | 0.112 | 0.114 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 17.3 | 15.1 | 2.3 | 0.112 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 0.1 | 0.953 | 0.431 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 11.1 | 12.3 | -1.2 | 0.307 | 12.1 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 0.599 | 0.422 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 8.5 | 10.0 | -1.5 | 0.176 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.21: Custodial Mothers Receiving Food Stamps

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 94.3% | 94.0% | 0.2% | 0.569 | 85.9% | 84.0% | 1.9% | 0.218 | 0.425 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 89.1 | 88.7 | 0.4 | 0.530 | 83.4 | 81.0 | 2.3 | 0.159 | 0.322 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 82.0 | 80.3 | 1.7 | 0.032 | 67.0 | 68.8 | -1.8 | 0.379 | 0.087 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 77.2 | 76.7 | 0.6 | 0.497 | 61.7 | 62.5 | -0.8 | 0.697 | 0.502 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 74.1 | 73.6 | 0.5 | 0.554 | 59.1 | 59.8 | -0.8 | 0.715 | 0.551 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 71.5 | 71.0 | 0.4 | 0.625 | 59.3 | 58.2 | 1.1 | 0.600 | 0.820 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 68.9 | 68.1 | 0.8 | 0.369 | 56.4 | 57.9 | -1.5 | 0.490 | 0.332 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 66.8 | 66.1 | 0.7 | 0.476 | 56.3 | 57.3 | -1.0 | 0.637 | 0.426 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 65.8 | 66.4 | -0.6 | 0.555 | 54.2 | 57.6 | -3.5 | 0.109 | 0.224 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 65.2 | 64.1 | 1.0 | 0.281 | 54.8 | 56.7 | -1.8 | 0.397 | 0.205 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 64.0 | 64.1 | -0.1 | 0.911 | 56.4 | 58.9 | -2.5 | 0.242 | 0.312 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 62.9 | 63.9 | -1.0 | 0.295 | 57.6 | 58.1 | -0.6 | 0.795 | 0.773 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 62.5 | 62.7 | -0.2 | 0.806 | 58.4 | 56.5 | 1.9 | 0.380 | 0.321 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 61.8 | 62.1 | -0.4 | 0.716 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 61.9 | 62.1 | -0.2 | 0.810 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 62.8 | 62.8 | 0.0 | 0.984 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 63.2 | 63.6 | -0.3 | 0.725 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 94.5% | 94.0% | 0.5% | 0.216 | 90.4% | 90.1% | 0.2% | 0.845 | 0.683 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 82.3 | 82.5 | -0.1 | 0.855 | 73.6 | 73.1 | 0.6 | 0.766 | 0.731 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 76.6 | 76.8 | -0.2 | 0.852 | 69.2 | 71.6 | -2.4 | 0.224 | 0.324 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 73.4 | 72.9 | 0.5 | 0.586 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.22: Custodial Mothers Receiving Food Stamps, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 85.2% | 84.3% | 0.9% | 0.619 | 89.5% | 89.4% | 0.1% | 0.927 | 0.784 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 63.2 | 65.2 | -2.0 | 0.419 | 71.4 | 71.5 | -0.1 | 0.967 | 0.566 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 58.7 | 61.6 | -2.8 | 0.255 | 66.8 | 69.7 | -2.9 | 0.192 | 0.977 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 58.4 | 57.9 | 0.5 | 0.845 | | | 0.0 | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 94.9% | 95.6% | -0.7% | 0.451 | 93.7% | 89.2% | 4.5% | 0.100 | 0.095 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 79.8 | 79.6 | 0.2 | 0.897 | 69.0 | 68.8 | 0.1 | 0.976 | 0.819 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 72.5 | 73.5 | -1.1 | 0.619 | 62.6 | 67.7 | -5.1 | 0.293 | 0.618 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 69.1 | 66.6 | 2.5 | 0.271 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 95.9% | 95.5% | 0.4% | 0.394 | 93.0% | 93.8% | -0.8% | 0.594 | 0.401 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 85.2 | 85.6 | -0.4 | 0.663 | 74.9 | 74.9 | -0.1 | 0.984 | 0.945 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 80.5 | 80.0 | 0.5 | 0.618 | 72.3 | 72.8 | -0.5 | 0.860 | 0.647 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 77.0 | 76.5 | 0.5 | 0.624 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 87.2% | 87.3% | -0.1% | 0.942 | 88.6% | 87.3% | 1.3% | 0.509 | 0.528 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 66.4 | 66.8 | -0.5 | 0.802 | 66.2 | 67.2 | -1.0 | 0.733 | 0.825 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 60.7 | 60.5 | 0.2 | 0.903 | 59.7 | 65.5 | -5.8 | 0.053 | 0.110 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 58.9 | 56.9 | 2.1 | 0.271 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.23: Custodial Mothers Receiving Medicaid and BadgerCare

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 99.5% | 99.6% | -0.1% | 0.279 | 97.3% | 96.9% | 0.4% | 0.587 | 0.314 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 97.8 | 98.4 | -0.6 | 0.022 | 98.4 | 97.7 | 0.7 | 0.208 | 0.024 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 95.2 | 95.7 | -0.5 | 0.230 | 94.4 | 92.7 | 1.7 | 0.098 | 0.042 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 92.6 | 92.9 | -0.3 | 0.595 | 91.3 | 88.6 | 2.8 | 0.031 | 0.044 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 89.8 | 89.6 | 0.2 | 0.707 | 86.9 | 85.4 | 1.5 | 0.317 | 0.538 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 86.8 | 87.1 | -0.3 | 0.684 | 83.4 | 82.7 | 0.8 | 0.633 | 0.638 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 84.6 | 84.1 | 0.5 | 0.483 | 80.9 | 81.2 | -0.3 | 0.862 | 0.643 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 82.7 | 82.2 | 0.5 | 0.536 | 79.6 | 79.8 | -0.2 | 0.897 | 0.648 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 81.0 | 80.9 | 0.1 | 0.892 | 77.9 | 78.4 | -0.6 | 0.755 | 0.721 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 80.1 | 79.8 | 0.3 | 0.732 | 76.4 | 78.2 | -1.8 | 0.330 | 0.327 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 79.4 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 0.968 | 76.3 | 77.7 | -1.4 | 0.436 | 0.505 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 78.6 | 79.4 | -0.8 | 0.327 | 75.3 | 77.9 | -2.6 | 0.156 | 0.446 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 77.3 | 77.6 | -0.3 | 0.713 | 75.2 | 76.9 | -1.7 | 0.344 | 0.562 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 76.5 | 76.9 | -0.5 | 0.588 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 76.3 | 76.3 | -0.1 | 0.934 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 76.0 | 75.9 | 0.0 | 0.954 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 75.6 | 75.2 | 0.4 | 0.666 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 98.8% | 99.1% | -0.2% | 0.182 | 99.6% | 99.1% | 0.5% | 0.072 | 0.040 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 91.0 | 90.9 | 0.2 | 0.761 | 88.8 | 87.9 | 0.9 | 0.505 | 0.703 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 84.7 | 84.3 | 0.3 | 0.633 | 81.7 | 83.9 | -2.2 | 0.174 | 0.167 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 81.5 | 81.2 | 0.3 | 0.702 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.24: Custodial Mothers Receiving Medicaid and BadgerCare, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 98.4% | 98.4% | 0.0% | 0.991 | 99.9% | 99.8% | 0.1% | 0.073 | 0.248 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 84.8 | 82.7 | 2.1 | 0.263 | 88.7 | 88.4 | 0.3 | 0.832 | 0.598 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 76.8 | 76.1 | 0.7 | 0.744 | 80.3 | 83.4 | -3.2 | 0.084 | 0.149 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 74.6 | 72.9 | 1.8 | 0.425 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 99.0% | 99.3% | -0.3% | 0.408 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | | 0.091 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 91.2 | 90.0 | 1.2 | 0.376 | 87.3 | 88.9 | -1.6 | 0.614 | 0.406 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 83.2 | 82.7 | 0.5 | 0.777 | 79.6 | 84.8 | -5.3 | 0.166 | 0.189 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 80.5 | 78.6 | 1.9 | 0.328 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 99.1% | 99.4% | -0.3% | 0.175 | 99.8% | 99.7% | 0.2% | 0.437 | 0.180 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 92.1 | 91.9 | 0.1 | 0.827 | 86.3 | 87.6 | -1.3 | 0.542 | 0.398 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 86.1 | 85.7 | 0.4 | 0.645 | 80.4 | 82.9 | -2.5 | 0.318 | 0.225 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 83.0 | 82.3 | 0.7 | 0.479 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 97.3% | 97.9% | -0.6% | 0.316 | 99.4% | 99.1% | 0.3% | 0.432 | 0.349 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 83.5 | 82.6 | 0.8 | 0.563 | 85.2 | 84.9 | 0.3 | 0.895 | 0.891 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 77.4 | 75.0 | 2.4 | 0.131 | 75.9 | 81.3 | -5.4 | 0.034 | 0.016 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 74.0 | 72.8 | 1.2 | 0.469 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.25: Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Care Subsidies

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 11.5% | 11.4% | 0.1% | 0.909 | 11.1% | 11.7% | -0.6% | 0.649 | 0.774 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 21.2 | 21.1 | 0.1 | 0.950 | 28.8 | 30.2 | -1.4 | 0.489 | 0.698 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 24.7 | 24.1 | 0.6 | 0.470 | 31.6 | 32.2 | -0.6 | 0.754 | 0.675 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 26.1 | 25.7 | 0.4 | 0.610 | 29.1 | 30.3 | -1.2 | 0.556 | 0.566 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 25.6 | 25.7 | -0.1 | 0.874 | 27.7 | 28.4 | -0.7 | 0.718 | 0.861 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 25.1 | 25.5 | -0.4 | 0.641 | 27.9 | 28.0 | -0.1 | 0.947 | 0.887 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 25.1 | 25.2 | -0.1 | 0.909 | 27.6 | 28.8 | -1.2 | 0.545 | 0.652 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 24.2 | 23.9 | 0.3 | 0.756 | 27.1 | 28.7 | -1.6 | 0.421 | 0.401 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 22.9 | 23.1 | -0.2 | 0.773 | 27.2 | 28.7 | -1.6 | 0.431 | 0.545 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 22.1 | 23.1 | -1.0 | 0.256 | 26.5 | 30.1 | -3.6 | 0.075 | 0.309 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 22.4 | 23.5 | -1.0 | 0.229 | 27.2 | 29.7 | -2.5 | 0.218 | 0.563 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 22.8 | 23.6 | -0.8 | 0.345 | 26.9 | 29.5 | -2.6 | 0.200 | 0.506 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 22.3 | 22.6 | -0.3 | 0.697 | 27.4 | 28.7 | -1.3 | 0.521 | 0.725 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 22.2 | 21.7 | 0.6 | 0.484 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 21.7 | 22.1 | -0.4 | 0.610 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 20.8 | 21.1 | -0.3 | 0.682 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 20.0 | 20.1 | -0.1 | 0.912 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 40.6% | 39.9% | 0.7% | 0.493 | 46.1% | 46.3% | -0.3% | 0.901 | 0.803 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 37.3 | 37.3 | -0.1 | 0.946 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 0.0 | 0.998 | 0.939 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 33.2 | 34.0 | -0.8 | 0.418 | 39.1 | 41.6 | -2.4 | 0.279 | 0.579 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 30.7 | 30.9 | -0.3 | 0.780 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.26: Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Care Subsidies, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 41.2% | 42.1% | -0.9% | 0.710 | 45.8% | 46.5% | -0.7% | 0.781 | 0.978 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 37.1 | 37.9 | -0.8 | 0.755 | 42.6 | 42.9 | -0.3 | 0.897 | 0.921 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 36.2 | 38.8 | -2.7 | 0.295 | 38.7 | 42.7 | -4.0 | 0.107 | 0.726 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 34.2 | 36.3 | -2.0 | 0.414 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 38.5% | 37.1% | 1.4% | 0.560 | 45.0% | 44.9% | 0.1% | 0.987 | 0.878 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 32.1 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 0.996 | 36.1 | 31.5 | 4.6 | 0.368 | 0.633 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 26.7 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 0.999 | 28.1 | 27.6 | 0.5 | 0.924 | 0.841 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 23.5 | 24.5 | -1.0 | 0.642 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 37.6% | 36.2% | 1.4% | 0.260 | 43.1% | 40.0% | 3.1% | 0.361 | 0.654 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 34.7 | 33.4 | 1.3 | 0.311 | 36.0 | 32.7 | 3.3 | 0.324 | 0.706 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 30.7 | 30.2 | 0.5 | 0.714 | 31.2 | 30.8 | 0.4 | 0.910 | 0.766 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 27.8 | 28.2 | -0.3 | 0.778 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 40.2% | 38.1% | 2.1% | 0.272 | 41.5% | 44.3% | -2.8% | 0.377 | 0.262 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 31.3 | 30.3 | 1.0 | 0.599 | 36.8 | 37.7 | -0.9 | 0.775 | 0.706 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 28.3 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 0.980 | 31.0 | 34.9 | -3.9 | 0.203 | 0.331 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 25.0 | 25.5 | -0.4 | 0.795 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.27: Percentage of Custodial Mothers with Earnings

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,539) | Control Group (N=2,830) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,125) | Control Group (N=887) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 56.4% | 55.2% | 1.2% | 0.277 | 56.7% | 54.2% | 2.5% | 0.276 | 0.586 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 53.7 | 54.0 | -0.3 | 0.800 | 58.5 | 60.1 | -1.5 | 0.475 | 0.429 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 58.9 | 59.2 | -0.3 | 0.766 | 68.4 | 68.2 | 0.2 | 0.941 | 0.958 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 61.1 | 60.0 | 1.1 | 0.284 | 70.0 | 67.7 | 2.3 | 0.266 | 0.732 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 60.8 | 61.8 | -0.9 | 0.344 | 70.1 | 69.9 | 0.2 | 0.925 | 0.709 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 61.1 | 60.2 | 0.9 | 0.372 | 69.5 | 69.9 | -0.4 | 0.832 | 0.502 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 63.9 | 61.8 | 2.1 | 0.034 | 69.1 | 72.0 | -2.9 | 0.149 | 0.026 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 64.0 | 63.3 | 0.7 | 0.447 | 66.2 | 68.4 | -2.2 | 0.280 | 0.141 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 63.5 | 63.3 | 0.2 | 0.807 | 64.4 | 65.5 | -1.1 | 0.597 | 0.414 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 61.8 | 62.6 | -0.8 | 0.405 | 66.3 | 64.5 | 1.8 | 0.386 | 0.299 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 62.3 | 62.9 | -0.6 | 0.568 | 63.3 | 63.7 | -0.4 | 0.851 | 0.939 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 61.9 | 62.5 | -0.6 | 0.551 | | | | | |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 61.3 | 60.3 | 1.0 | 0.318 | | | | | |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 59.4 | 58.0 | 1.4 | 0.145 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 58.5 | 57.1 | 1.4 | 0.164 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 81.7% | 82.5% | -0.8% | 0.321 | 87.2% | 86.8% | 0.5% | 0.741 | 0.623 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 81.2 | 79.8 | 1.3 | 0.090 | 83.4 | 84.6 | -1.2 | 0.445 | 0.114 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 77.8 | 77.2 | 0.5 | 0.527 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A3.28: Percentage of Custodial Mothers with Earnings, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=921) | (N=745) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 84.6% | 86.1% | -1.5% | 0.390 | 87.5% | 87.3% | 0.1% | 0.932 | 0.497 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 83.4 | 83.3 | 0.1 | 0.968 | 83.8 | 85.6 | -1.8 | 0.308 | 0.466 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 80.7 | 80.7 | -0.1 | 0.967 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 82.8% | 83.0% | -0.1% | 0.937 | 87.1% | 91.2% | -4.2% | 0.174 | 0.228 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 81.2 | 79.2 | 2.0 | 0.299 | 80.9 | 90.0 | -9.1 | 0.010 | 0.006 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 77.4 | 77.2 | 0.2 | 0.927 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,606) | | | (N=499) | (N=367) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 71.7% | 72.8% | -1.1% | 0.337 | 81.6% | 79.8% | 1.8% | 0.487 | 0.454 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 75.2 | 74.0 | 1.2 | 0.293 | 78.2 | 78.6 | -0.4 | 0.880 | 0.456 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 72.4 | 72.7 | -0.2 | 0.833 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=484) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 84.0% | 85.2% | -1.2% | 0.420 | 86.3% | 87.4% | -1.1% | 0.614 | 0.943 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 79.6 | 78.4 | 1.2 | 0.433 | 80.5 | 86.4 | -5.9 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 75.4 | 74.5 | 0.9 | 0.592 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.29: Amounts Earned by Custodial Mothers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,539) | Control Group (N=2,830) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,125) | Control Group (N=887) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$778 | \$756 | \$22 | 0.231 | \$728 | \$715 | \$13 | 0.756 | 0.719 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 875 | 874 | 1 | 0.960 | 994 | 1,006 | -11 | 0.838 | 0.841 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 1,115 | 1,111 | 5 | 0.861 | 1,576 | 1,537 | 39 | 0.592 | 0.624 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 1,284 | 1,236 | 48 | 0.111 | 1,683 | 1,666 | 17 | 0.815 | 0.576 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 1,394 | 1,336 | 58 | 0.064 | 1,750 | 1,736 | 13 | 0.862 | 0.518 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 1,371 | 1,315 | 56 | 0.068 | 1,820 | 1,844 | -24 | 0.769 | 0.285 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 1,542 | 1,477 | 65 | 0.054 | 1,939 | 1,972 | -33 | 0.701 | 0.218 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 1,626 | 1,601 | 25 | 0.494 | 1,947 | 1,942 | 4 | 0.960 | 0.769 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 1,687 | 1,683 | 4 | 0.916 | 1,969 | 1,906 | 63 | 0.480 | 0.608 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 1,630 | 1,672 | -43 | 0.246 | 1,973 | 1,906 | 66 | 0.463 | 0.247 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 1,706 | 1,751 | -45 | 0.233 | 1,968 | 1,959 | 10 | 0.921 | 0.538 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 1,785 | 1,791 | -5 | 0.899 | | | | | |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 1,821 | 1,772 | 49 | 0.233 | | | | | |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 1,764 | 1,710 | 53 | 0.191 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 1,787 | 1,752 | 36 | 0.413 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$4,668 | \$4,557 | \$111 | 0.233 | \$6,003 | \$5,945 | \$58 | 0.804 | 0.764 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 6,226 | 6,076 | 150 | 0.216 | 7,674 | 7,664 | 10 | 0.973 | 0.583 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 6,941 | 6,985 | -44 | 0.753 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A3.30: Amounts Earned by Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=921) | (N=745) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$5,215 | \$5,043 | \$172 | 0.495 | \$5,960 | \$5,889 | \$71 | 0.778 | 0.796 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 7,104 | 7,086 | 17 | 0.958 | 7,693 | 7,661 | 31 | 0.926 | 0.916 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 7,538 | 8,015 | -477 | 0.193 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$5,268 | \$5,312 | \$-44 | 0.858 | \$6,459 | \$7,412 | \$-953 | 0.110 | 0.064 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 6,713 | 6,730 | -17 | 0.956 | 8,339 | 8,985 | -646 | 0.420 | 0.300 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 7,293 | 7,543 | -250 | 0.483 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,606) | | | (N=499) | (N=367) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$3,272 | \$3,232 | \$41 | 0.704 | \$4,874 | \$5,244 | \$-370 | 0.288 | 0.115 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 4,977 | 4,922 | 55 | 0.712 | 6,594 | 6,977 | -383 | 0.398 | 0.166 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 5,683 | 5,777 | -94 | 0.582 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=484) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$5,103 | \$4,848 | \$255 | 0.180 | \$5,832 | \$5,973 | \$-141 | 0.657 | 0.229 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 6,276 | 6,184 | 92 | 0.702 | 7,263 | 7,286 | -23 | 0.958 | 0.789 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 6,640 | 6,620 | 21 | 0.940 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.31: Total Income of Custodial Mothers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,539) | Control Group (N=2,830) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,125) | Control Group (N=887) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$2,251 | \$2,198 | \$54 | 0.013 | \$1,833 | \$1,754 | \$79 | 0.080 | 0.670 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 2,639 | 2,626 | 13 | 0.566 | 2,534 | 2,463 | 70 | 0.185 | 0.258 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 2,572 | 2,550 | 22 | 0.418 | 2,602 | 2,513 | 89 | 0.193 | 0.270 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 2,528 | 2,459 | 69 | 0.017 | 2,588 | 2,514 | 74 | 0.306 | 0.997 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 2,533 | 2,459 | 73 | 0.016 | 2,598 | 2,548 | 50 | 0.500 | 0.738 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 2,437 | 2,362 | 75 | 0.013 | 2,621 | 2,598 | 24 | 0.765 | 0.470 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 2,551 | 2,463 | 88 | 0.008 | 2,733 | 2,734 | 0 | 0.996 | 0.259 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 2,582 | 2,522 | 60 | 0.089 | 2,762 | 2,691 | 71 | 0.410 | 0.960 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 2,619 | 2,583 | 35 | 0.333 | 2,790 | 2,683 | 107 | 0.221 | 0.509 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 2,562 | 2,563 | -1 | 0.975 | 2,767 | 2,700 | 66 | 0.451 | 0.481 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 2,598 | 2,627 | -28 | 0.451 | 2,791 | 2,754 | 38 | 0.693 | 0.466 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 2,660 | 2,643 | 16 | 0.681 | | | | | |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 2,693 | 2,638 | 55 | 0.176 | | | | | |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 2,635 | 2,598 | 36 | 0.365 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 2,669 | 2,630 | 40 | 0.357 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$10,272 | \$10,095 | \$177 | 0.048 | \$10,322 | \$10,038 | \$284 | 0.200 | 0.617 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 10,189 | 9,931 | 258 | 0.028 | 10,906 | 10,705 | 201 | 0.501 | 0.773 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 10,513 | 10,472 | 41 | 0.763 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A3.32: Total Income of Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=921) | (N=745) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$9,398 | \$9,202 | \$196 | 0.424 | \$10,078 | \$9,900 | \$177 | 0.461 | 0.951 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 9,925 | 9,773 | 152 | 0.637 | 10,782 | 10,650 | 133 | 0.687 | 0.955 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 10,227 | 10,626 | -399 | 0.275 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$12,038 | \$11,785 | \$252 | 0.282 | \$12,775 | \$12,604 | \$171 | 0.762 | 0.802 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 11,832 | 11,697 | 135 | 0.660 | 13,396 | 13,327 | 69 | 0.930 | 0.982 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 12,044 | 12,234 | -190 | 0.593 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,606) | | | (N=499) | (N=367) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$9,920 | \$9,840 | \$80 | 0.448 | \$10,215 | \$10,159 | \$57 | 0.864 | 0.817 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 9,382 | 9,255 | 127 | 0.379 | 10,299 | 10,308 | -10 | 0.983 | 0.517 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 9,506 | 9,549 | -43 | 0.796 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=484) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$9,125 | \$8,695 | \$430 | 0.024 | \$9,905 | \$9,578 | \$327 | 0.298 | 0.699 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 9,363 | 9,004 | 358 | 0.141 | 10,517 | 10,331 | 186 | 0.668 | 0.712 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 9,623 | 9,493 | 130 | 0.640 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.33: Percentage of Legal Noncustodial Fathers with Earnings

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=10,711) | Control Group (N=2,940) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=778) | Control Group (N=674) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | 39.1% | 39.2% | -0.1% | 0.938 | 49.4% | 51.4% | -2.0% | 0.492 | 0.666 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 38.8 | 38.9 | -0.1 | 0.961 | 49.7 | 50.9 | -1.3 | 0.666 | 0.819 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 39.3 | 39.5 | -0.2 | 0.886 | 49.2 | 50.5 | -1.3 | 0.667 | 0.798 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 39.5 | 39.1 | 0.4 | 0.714 | 48.3 | 51.7 | -3.4 | 0.233 | 0.190 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 38.6 | 37.3 | 1.2 | 0.251 | 47.2 | 47.6 | -0.4 | 0.896 | 0.637 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 37.4 | 36.6 | 0.9 | 0.420 | 48.0 | 49.5 | -1.5 | 0.594 | 0.498 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 38.4 | 37.1 | 1.2 | 0.251 | 46.4 | 48.3 | -1.9 | 0.509 | 0.367 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 38.1 | 37.3 | 0.8 | 0.438 | 44.5 | 46.2 | -1.7 | 0.556 | 0.427 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 38.5 | 38.2 | 0.3 | 0.764 | 41.4 | 43.9 | -2.4 | 0.397 | 0.393 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 37.9 | 37.2 | 0.7 | 0.538 | 41.5 | 45.1 | -3.5 | 0.221 | 0.174 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 37.7 | 35.8 | 2.0 | 0.063 | 40.8 | 44.3 | -3.5 | 0.225 | 0.086 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 37.4 | 35.9 | 1.6 | 0.140 | | | | | |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 35.7 | 34.7 | 1.0 | 0.346 | | | | | |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 34.2 | 33.4 | 0.8 | 0.468 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 34.4 | 33.6 | 0.8 | 0.454 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 50.4% | 50.1% | 0.4% | 0.745 | 68.7% | 67.1% | 1.7% | 0.535 | 0.667 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 51.9 | 51.2 | 0.6 | 0.564 | 57.2 | 60.0 | -2.8 | 0.326 | 0.273 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 53.9 | 51.8 | 2.1 | 0.053 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A3.34: Percentage of Legal Noncustodial Fathers with Earnings, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=704) | (N=285) | | | (N=546) | (N=508) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 63.1% | 53.9% | 9.1% | 0.020 | 70.6% | 70.8% | -0.2% | 0.943 | 0.081 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 62.0 | 57.4 | 4.6 | 0.237 | 61.4 | 63.8 | -2.4 | 0.459 | 0.175 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 61.2 | 54.4 | 6.7 | 0.083 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=3,209) | (N=810) | | | (N=311) | (N=284) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 74.6% | 75.3% | -0.7% | 0.742 | 3.0% | 86.7% | 2.3% | 0.479 | 0.556 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 76.4 | 73.8 | 2.6 | 0.223 | 77.6 | 79.1 | -1.5 | 0.727 | 0.267 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 76.3 | 72.4 | 3.9 | 0.069 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=6,502) | (N=1,793) | | | (N=410) | (N=358) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 48.7% | 48.0% | 0.7% | 0.632 | 67.0% | 62.1% | 4.9% | 0.199 | 0.356 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 51.3 | 50.1 | 1.2 | 0.392 | 56.0 | 55.6 | 0.4 | 0.925 | 0.753 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 52.0 | 50.1 | 1.9 | 0.186 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=2,652) | (N=788) | | | (N=439) | (N=381) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | 58.2% | 60.0% | -1.8% | 0.403 | 72.4% | 74.5% | -2.0% | 0.545 | 0.928 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 55.8 | 58.3 | -2.4 | 0.263 | 63.6 | 67.7 | -4.1 | 0.264 | 0.722 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 58.1 | 57.9 | 0.1 | 0.951 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.35: Amounts Earned by Legal Noncustodial Fathers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=10,711) | Control Group (N=2,940) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=778) | Control Group (N=674) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$1,455 | \$1,495 | \$-40 | 0.356 | \$2,032 | \$1,976 | \$56 | 0.649 | 0.269 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 1,426 | 1,447 | -20 | 0.636 | 2,268 | 2,231 | 37 | 0.779 | 0.473 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 1,522 | 1,556 | -34 | 0.448 | 2,406 | 2,304 | 101 | 0.450 | 0.153 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 1,575 | 1,557 | 18 | 0.705 | 2,241 | 2,303 | -62 | 0.650 | 0.703 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 1,591 | 1,589 | 2 | 0.970 | 2,192 | 2,223 | -31 | 0.829 | 0.931 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 1,513 | 1,450 | 62 | 0.185 | 2,427 | 2,326 | 100 | 0.494 | 0.630 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 1,625 | 1,617 | 8 | 0.873 | 2,404 | 2,364 | 40 | 0.785 | 0.709 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 1,678 | 1,671 | 7 | 0.899 | 2,236 | 2,270 | -34 | 0.822 | 0.863 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 1,705 | 1,719 | -14 | 0.792 | 2,196 | 2,298 | -102 | 0.515 | 0.658 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 1,640 | 1,611 | 29 | 0.580 | 2,243 | 2,386 | -143 | 0.338 | 0.330 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 1,732 | 1,657 | 75 | 0.172 | 2,232 | 2,268 | -36 | 0.810 | 0.501 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 1,734 | 1,694 | 41 | 0.471 | | | | | |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 1,722 | 1,647 | 75 | 0.186 | | | | | |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 1,633 | 1,592 | 41 | 0.459 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 1,687 | 1,632 | 55 | 0.336 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$6,114 | \$6,149 | \$-35 | 0.838 | \$9,107 | \$9,061 | \$46 | 0.923 | 0.665 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 6,521 | 6,458 | 63 | 0.735 | 9,264 | 9,259 | 5 | 0.993 | 0.962 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 6,828 | 6,608 | 220 | 0.274 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A3.36: Amounts Earned by Legal Noncustodial Fathers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=704) | (N=285) | | | (N=546) | (N=508) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$9,455 | \$9,449 | \$6 | 0.994 | \$10,056 | \$10,044 | \$12 | 0.983 | 0.815 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 9,839 | 10,213 | -374 | 0.646 | 10,002 | 10,373 | -372 | 0.556 | 0.972 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 10,044 | 10,230 | -187 | 0.833 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=3,209) | (N=810) | | | (N=311) | (N=284) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$14,377 | \$14,392 | \$-15 | 0.980 | \$15,856 | \$14,867 | \$989 | 0.341 | 0.493 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 14,986 | 14,563 | 423 | 0.507 | 16,675 | 14,876 | 1,799 | 0.136 | 0.338 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 15,239 | 14,529 | 710 | 0.290 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=6,502) | (N=1,793) | | | (N=410) | (N=358) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$5,901 | \$5,825 | \$77 | 0.719 | \$8,857 | \$8,649 | \$208 | 0.751 | 0.952 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 6,302 | 6,276 | 26 | 0.914 | 8,944 | 8,378 | 567 | 0.433 | 0.536 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 6,586 | 6,454 | 132 | 0.605 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=2,652) | (N=788) | | | (N=439) | (N=381) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$7,099 | \$7,690 | \$-591 | 0.093 | \$10,508 | \$10,407 | \$101 | 0.876 | 0.210 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 7,742 | 8,060 | -317 | 0.410 | 10,655 | 10,716 | -61 | 0.933 | 0.590 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 8,115 | 8,337 | -222 | 0.596 | | | | | |
| 4th Year after Entry | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A3.37: Net Government Costs for Custodial Mothers

| Time Period | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group (N=12,542) | Control Group (N=2,831) | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group (N=1,126) | Control Group (N=888) | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Quarter Mother Entered W-2 | \$2,578 | \$2,536 | \$41 | 0.049 | \$1,954 | \$1,874 | \$80 | 0.063 | 0.520 |
| 1st Quarter after Entry | 3,116 | 3,089 | 27 | 0.347 | 2,683 | 2,627 | 56 | 0.365 | 0.632 |
| 2nd Quarter after Entry | 2,892 | 2,867 | 25 | 0.455 | 2,290 | 2,233 | 56 | 0.395 | 0.594 |
| 3rd Quarter after Entry | 2,733 | 2,706 | 27 | 0.455 | 2,095 | 2,065 | 30 | 0.675 | 0.849 |
| 4th Quarter after Entry | 2,563 | 2,563 | 0 | 0.990 | 1,943 | 1,908 | 35 | 0.615 | 0.630 |
| 5th Quarter after Entry | 2,460 | 2,437 | 23 | 0.553 | 2,026 | 1,919 | 107 | 0.158 | 0.320 |
| 6th Quarter after Entry | 2,389 | 2,382 | 7 | 0.859 | 1,991 | 2,015 | -24 | 0.765 | 0.808 |
| 7th Quarter after Entry | 2,398 | 2,379 | 19 | 0.630 | 1,966 | 1,960 | 6 | 0.937 | 0.879 |
| 8th Quarter after Entry | 2,295 | 2,294 | 1 | 0.982 | 1,945 | 1,984 | -40 | 0.617 | 0.656 |
| 9th Quarter after Entry | 2,256 | 2,237 | 19 | 0.637 | 1,928 | 2,014 | -86 | 0.299 | 0.255 |
| 10th Quarter after Entry | 2,279 | 2,269 | 9 | 0.827 | 2,026 | 2,106 | -80 | 0.337 | 0.377 |
| 11th Quarter after Entry | 2,374 | 2,393 | -19 | 0.663 | 2,061 | 2,173 | -112 | 0.185 | 0.341 |
| 12th Quarter after Entry | 2,296 | 2,323 | -27 | 0.519 | 2,099 | 2,068 | 31 | 0.713 | 0.580 |
| 13th Quarter after Entry | 2,283 | 2,305 | -22 | 0.622 | | | | | |
| 14th Quarter after Entry | 2,312 | 2,343 | -31 | 0.484 | | | | | |
| 15th Quarter after Entry | 2,355 | 2,386 | -31 | 0.490 | | | | | |
| 16th Quarter after Entry | 2,360 | 2,358 | 2 | 0.969 | | | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$11,304 | \$11,224 | \$80 | 0.470 | \$9,010 | \$8,834 | \$176 | 0.432 | 0.613 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 9,542 | 9,492 | 50 | 0.718 | 7,928 | 7,878 | 49 | 0.858 | 0.972 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 9,205 | 9,223 | -18 | 0.904 | 8,114 | 8,361 | -248 | 0.414 | 0.506 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 9,310 | 9,393 | -82 | 0.613 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A3.38: Net Government Costs for Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

| Subgroup | Cohort 1 | | | | Cohort 3 | | | | Cohort 3- Cohort 1 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | Experimental Group | Control Group | Impact | P-value | P-value |
| Mother Has No Recent AFDC History | (N=1516) | (N=539) | | | (N=922) | (N=746) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$7,746 | \$7,898 | \$-151 | 0.493 | \$8,473 | \$8,446 | \$27 | 0.909 | 0.575 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 6,059 | 6,264 | -205 | 0.451 | 7,504 | 7,565 | -60 | 0.836 | 0.693 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 6,396 | 6,666 | -271 | 0.395 | 7,789 | 8,113 | -324 | 0.325 | 0.875 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 7,024 | 7,545 | -521 | 0.149 | | | | | |
| Higher Child Support History | (N=2,303) | (N=488) | | | (N=231) | (N=191) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$10,918 | \$10,583 | \$336 | 0.227 | \$9,282 | \$8,322 | \$960 | 0.095 | 0.281 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 8,777 | 8,617 | 161 | 0.632 | 7,879 | 7,095 | 784 | 0.222 | 0.433 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 8,241 | 8,282 | -40 | 0.907 | 7,263 | 7,558 | -295 | 0.650 | 0.773 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 8,130 | 8,166 | -37 | 0.919 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered in Lower Tier | (N=7,589) | (N=1,607) | | | (N=500) | (N=368) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$12,420 | \$12,347 | \$73 | 0.612 | \$10,210 | \$9,665 | \$545 | 0.118 | 0.252 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 10,170 | 10,062 | 108 | 0.551 | 8,267 | 7,866 | 400 | 0.340 | 0.753 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 9,583 | 9,674 | -92 | 0.643 | 8,264 | 8,252 | 11 | 0.980 | 0.930 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 9,611 | 9,733 | -122 | 0.567 | | | | | |
| Mother Entered Outside Milwaukee | (N=3,193) | (N=876) | | | (N=551) | (N=485) | | | |
| 1st Year after Entry | \$7,581 | \$7,331 | \$250 | 0.175 | \$7,652 | \$6,997 | \$656 | 0.029 | 0.186 |
| 2nd Year after Entry | 5,891 | 5,752 | 139 | 0.521 | 6,684 | 6,467 | 217 | 0.572 | 0.732 |
| 3rd Year after Entry | 5,893 | 5,921 | -28 | 0.903 | 6,305 | 6,497 | -192 | 0.610 | 0.787 |
| 4th Year after Entry | 5,920 | 5,969 | -49 | 0.837 | | | | | |

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.