

Appendix 2

Cohort 2 Cases Outside Milwaukee

In the main section of the report we provide estimates of the effect of the experiment for Cohort 1 (cases entering W-2 before July 9, 1998) and Cohort 3 (cases entering W-2 between January 1, 1999, and June 30, 1999). As discussed in Appendix 1, during the time period between July 9, 1998, and January 1, 1999, the random-assignment procedure in Milwaukee County was implemented incorrectly, so that no control cases were assigned. Since 80 percent of W-2 cases enter in Milwaukee, cases from that time period were left out of the main analyses.

Cases that entered W-2 outside Milwaukee County during this time were assigned to experimental and control treatments correctly, so in this appendix we present estimates of the experimental effect among Cohort 2 cases in all counties except for Milwaukee. The total sample is 1,069 mothers, 704 fathers, and 740 children in need of paternity establishment. Estimates for the first year after entry in this section can be compared to the Cohort 1 and 3 estimates for the subgroup of cases outside Milwaukee in the main section of the report. Quarterly estimates of the Cohort 2 effects are shown through the sixth quarter after entry. Cohort 2 cases after this point will be affected by the September 2000 implementation error which allowed control cases on W-2 to be eligible for the full pass-through.

Because of the smaller number of cases in this time period we would not expect to find as many significant experimental effects in Cohort 2. For example, the difference in the amount of child support received over the first year after entry by custodial mothers is only marginally significant, although the amount of excess support received by Cohort 2 mothers was about the same as Cohort 1 cases outside Milwaukee (\$187 in Cohort 2, from Table A2.17, versus \$183 in Cohort 1 and \$120 in Cohort 3, from Table 3.19). Similarly, the amount of child support paid by legal fathers in the first year after entry is marginally significant among these Cohort 2 cases, but the size of the experimental effect is larger than in either Cohort 1 or Cohort 3 cases outside Milwaukee (\$207 in Cohort 2, Table A2.9; it is \$111 in Cohort 1, and \$15 in Cohort 3, Table 3.11).

There are few other significant effects seen in these cases, with one interesting exception. The strongest finding is the effect of the full pass-through policy on noncustodial fathers' earnings. In the first year after entry, full pass-through cases are 7 percentage points more likely to have any earnings than partial pass-through cases (66 percent to 59 percent) and the average earnings are \$1,300 greater (Tables A2.33 and A2.35). Most interesting, experimental fathers in Cohort 1 and Cohort 3 outside Milwaukee are not significantly different in their likelihood of having earnings, and Cohort 1 experimental cases outside Milwaukee have significantly lower earnings than control cases (by \$591, Table 3.37).

Table A2.1: Paternity Establishment among Children without Legal Fathers at Entry

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=363)	Control Group (N=377)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	2.4%	2.5%	0.0%	0.983
1st Quarter after Entry	12.2	14.4	-2.1	0.398
2nd Quarter after Entry	17.5	23.9	-6.4	0.045
3rd Quarter after Entry	22.1	30.6	-8.4	0.018
4th Quarter after Entry	28.1	34.4	-6.3	0.101
5th Quarter after Entry	32.1	39.6	-7.5	0.061
6th Quarter after Entry	34.6	43.6	-9.0	0.030
1st Year after Entry	28.1%	34.4%	-6.3%	0.101

Table A2.2: Paternity Establishment among Children without Legal Fathers at Entry, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=284)	(N=296)		
1st Year after Entry	31.8%	39.5%	-7.7%	0.090
Higher Child Support History	(N=45)	(N=67)		
1st Year after Entry	8.5%	1.7%	6.8%	0.057
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=80)	(N=96)		
1st Year after Entry	11.9%	8.3%	3.6%	0.528

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A2.3: Percentage of Mothers with Child Support Orders (Mothers with No Child Support Order in the Quarter of Entry)

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=314)	Control Group (N=318)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	8.5%	10.1%	-1.6%	0.490
1st Quarter after Entry	8.5	10.1	-1.6	0.490
2nd Quarter after Entry	18.3	22.3	-4.1	0.218
3rd Quarter after Entry	24.8	30.2	-5.4	0.146
4th Quarter after Entry	31.5	34.6	-3.1	0.428
5th Quarter after Entry	36.2	39.8	-3.6	0.384
6th Quarter after Entry	37.1	42.0	-4.9	0.237
1st Year after Entry	33.9%	36.4%	-2.6%	0.523

Table A2.4: Percentage of Mothers with Child Support Orders (Mothers with No Child Support Order in the Quarter of Entry), by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N)	Control Group (N)		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=268)	(N=278)		
1st Year after Entry	36.3%	36.5%	-0.2%	0.965
Higher Child Support History	(N=16)	(N=13)		
1st Year after Entry	0.0%	100.0%	-100.0%	1.000
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=76)	(N=86)		
1st Year after Entry	22.6%	29.5%	-6.9%	0.414

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A2.5: Amounts of Child Support Owed to Custodial Mothers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$309	\$298	\$11	0.580
1st Quarter after Entry	365	372	-7	0.780
2nd Quarter after Entry	417	447	-29	0.312
3rd Quarter after Entry	475	513	-38	0.229
4th Quarter after Entry	519	537	-18	0.593
5th Quarter after Entry	530	546	-16	0.619
6th Quarter after Entry	547	562	-15	0.658
1st Year after Entry	\$1,775	\$1,868	\$-92	0.395

Table A2.6: Amounts of Child Support Owed to Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,573	\$1,675	\$-102	0.387
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	\$3,865	\$3,809	\$56	0.856
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,887	\$2,056	\$-169	0.389

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.7: Percentage of Legal Fathers Paying Child Support

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=425)	Control Group (N=386)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	40.6%	38.8%	1.9%	0.673
1st Quarter after Entry	51.2	50.3	0.9	0.835
2nd Quarter after Entry	56.2	50.6	5.6	0.180
3rd Quarter after Entry	53.1	55.4	-2.3	0.563
4th Quarter after Entry	56.3	52.0	4.3	0.270
5th Quarter after Entry	59.2	55.4	3.8	0.326
6th Quarter after Entry	59.8	59.7	0.0	0.996
1st Year after Entry	74.1%	72.9%	1.2%	0.734

Table A2.8: Percentage of Legal Fathers Paying Child Support, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=263)	Control Group (N=249)		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=263)	(N=249)		
1st Year after Entry	73.1%	72.6%	0.5%	0.915
Higher Child Support History	(N=185)	(N=174)		
1st Year after Entry	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	1.000
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=174)	(N=145)		
1st Year after Entry	72.3%	78.2%	-6.0%	0.321

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2.

Table A2.9: Amounts of Child Support Paid by Legal Fathers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=425)	Control Group (N=386)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$288	\$251	\$37	0.136
1st Quarter after Entry	355	304	52	0.105
2nd Quarter after Entry	432	379	53	0.204
3rd Quarter after Entry	444	404	40	0.380
4th Quarter after Entry	405	342	63	0.078
5th Quarter after Entry	416	373	44	0.263
6th Quarter after Entry	456	442	14	0.733
1st Year after Entry	\$1,636	\$1,430	\$207	0.091

Table A2.10: Amounts of Child Support Paid by Legal Fathers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=263)	Control Group (N=249)		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=263)	(N=249)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,700	\$1,496	\$203	0.207
Higher Child Support History	(N=185)	(N=174)		
1st Year after Entry	\$3,184	\$3,023	\$160	0.546
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=174)	(N=145)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,674	\$1,693	\$-20	0.919

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.11: Percentage of Custodial Mothers for Whom Child Support Was Paid

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	22.2%	20.1%	2.1%	0.559
1st Quarter after Entry	38.9	39.4	-0.4	0.910
2nd Quarter after Entry	48.9	47.7	1.2	0.747
3rd Quarter after Entry	49.4	53.3	-3.9	0.275
4th Quarter after Entry	54.0	54.0	0.0	0.995
5th Quarter after Entry	59.2	59.1	0.0	0.992
6th Quarter after Entry	63.1	62.5	0.6	0.862
1st Year after Entry	68.7%	70.7%	-2.0%	0.542

Table A2.12: Percentage of Custodial Mothers for Whom Child Support Was Paid, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	63.9%	65.6%	-1.7%	0.669
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.553
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	69.9%	74.5%	-4.7%	0.479

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

Table A2.13: Amounts of Child Support Paid on Behalf of Custodial Mothers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$231	\$211	\$20	0.286
1st Quarter after Entry	310	266	44	0.088
2nd Quarter after Entry	392	363	28	0.392
3rd Quarter after Entry	426	422	4	0.923
4th Quarter after Entry	409	394	16	0.626
5th Quarter after Entry	457	442	15	0.697
6th Quarter after Entry	543	547	-4	0.925
1st Year after Entry	\$1,537	\$1,445	\$92	0.373

Table A2.14: Amounts of Child Support Paid on Behalf of Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,370	\$1,305	\$65	0.569
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	\$4,020	\$3,630	\$389	0.206
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,805	\$1,863	\$-59	0.767

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

Table A2.15: Percentage of Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Support

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	13.3%	11.6%	1.6%	0.546
1st Quarter after Entry	30.3	30.8	-0.4	0.901
2nd Quarter after Entry	40.4	38.8	1.7	0.637
3rd Quarter after Entry	41.7	44.6	-2.9	0.410
4th Quarter after Entry	48.4	45.8	2.6	0.458
5th Quarter after Entry	49.9	49.2	0.8	0.820
6th Quarter after Entry	52.5	52.3	0.1	0.967
1st Year after Entry	59.3%	59.1%	0.2%	0.956

Table A2.16: Percentage of Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Support, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	54.5%	54.3%	0.1%	0.975
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	98.4%	99.1%	-0.7%	0.537
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	65.1%	65.6%	-0.5%	0.948

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Payment to mothers differs from payment by fathers because it includes payments by one or more legal fathers or no legal father (paternity not established).

Table A2.17: Amounts of Child Support Received by Custodial Mothers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$207	\$168	\$40	0.026
1st Quarter after Entry	265	197	68	0.003
2nd Quarter after Entry	308	268	40	0.129
3rd Quarter after Entry	365	323	42	0.223
4th Quarter after Entry	375	339	37	0.229
5th Quarter after Entry	401	380	22	0.530
6th Quarter after Entry	428	423	5	0.887
1st Year after Entry	\$1,313	\$1,126	\$187	0.052

Table A2.18: Amounts of Child Support Received by Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,213	\$1,054	\$158	0.140
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	\$3,454	\$2,766	\$687	0.020
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	\$1,512	\$1,372	\$140	0.437

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.19: Custodial Mothers Receiving W-2 Grants

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	64.8%	67.9%	-3.1%	0.370
1st Quarter after Entry	68.3	63.3	5.0	0.130
2nd Quarter after Entry	20.6	19.3	1.3	0.628
3rd Quarter after Entry	10.4	10.0	0.4	0.830
4th Quarter after Entry	6.0	6.9	-0.9	0.548
5th Quarter after Entry	7.1	5.7	1.3	0.372
6th Quarter after Entry	5.4	4.0	1.4	0.286
1st Year after Entry	71.1%	67.4%	3.7%	0.237

Table A2.20: Custodial Mothers Receiving W-2 Grants, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	72.4%	69.4%	3.1%	0.392
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	72.7%	70.0%	2.7%	0.694
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	92.3%	86.1%	6.2%	0.089

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.21: Custodial Mothers Receiving Food Stamps

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	76.8%	81.3%	-4.6%	0.077
1st Quarter after Entry	75.2	77.9	-2.7	0.320
2nd Quarter after Entry	63.8	61.4	2.4	0.427
3rd Quarter after Entry	51.4	49.5	2.0	0.529
4th Quarter after Entry	46.5	46.7	-0.2	0.950
5th Quarter after Entry	44.7	43.0	1.7	0.588
6th Quarter after Entry	43.8	43.6	0.2	0.952
1st Year after Entry	86.6%	86.3%	0.3%	0.886

Table A2.22: Custodial Mothers Receiving Food Stamps, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	86.6%	84.8%	1.9%	0.454
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	97.2%	98.6%	-1.4%	0.235
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	93.8%	96.3%	-2.5%	0.291

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.23: Custodial Mothers Receiving Medicaid and BadgerCare

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	96.8%	98.0%	-1.2%	0.189
1st Quarter after Entry	96.2	97.3	-1.1	0.297
2nd Quarter after Entry	90.6	90.0	0.6	0.732
3rd Quarter after Entry	87.0	84.5	2.5	0.254
4th Quarter after Entry	82.4	78.3	4.0	0.105
5th Quarter after Entry	76.6	73.9	2.7	0.317
6th Quarter after Entry	71.4	73.2	-1.8	0.523
1st Year after Entry	98.0%	98.5%	-0.5%	0.509

Table A2.24: Custodial Mothers Receiving Medicaid and BadgerCare, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	97.4%	98.2%	-0.8%	0.411
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	.
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.958

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.25: Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Care Subsidies

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	12.3%	12.8%	-0.6%	0.779
1st Quarter after Entry	28.9	25.4	3.5	0.213
2nd Quarter after Entry	32.1	28.2	3.9	0.183
3rd Quarter after Entry	29.7	26.4	3.3	0.244
4th Quarter after Entry	26.3	25.2	1.1	0.684
5th Quarter after Entry	24.9	21.0	3.9	0.146
6th Quarter after Entry	24.0	23.2	0.8	0.775
1st Year after Entry	45.7%	42.1%	3.6%	0.258

Table A2.26: Custodial Mothers Receiving Child Care Subsidies, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	41.2%	40.9%	0.3%	0.934
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	38.8%	31.4%	7.5%	0.274
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	40.5%	29.6%	10.9%	0.083

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.

Table A2.27: Percentage of Custodial Mothers with Earnings

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	71.1%	65.9%	5.2%	0.088
1st Quarter after Entry	63.9	66.1	-2.2	0.476
2nd Quarter after Entry	71.0	66.1	5.0	0.096
3rd Quarter after Entry	73.6	70.6	3.0	0.290
4th Quarter after Entry	74.5	73.7	0.8	0.761
5th Quarter after Entry	71.2	71.5	-0.2	0.941
6th Quarter after Entry	71.1	69.7	1.4	0.628
1st Year after Entry	89.6%	90.1%	-0.5%	0.782

Table A2.28: Percentage of Custodial Mothers with Earnings, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	91.7%	90.1%	1.6%	0.422
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	88.8%	87.5%	1.4%	0.751
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	82.9%	80.0%	2.9%	0.542

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A2.29: Amounts Earned by Custodial Mothers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$989	\$894	\$95	0.165
1st Quarter after Entry	1,082	1,117	-35	0.679
2nd Quarter after Entry	1,494	1,305	189	0.040
3rd Quarter after Entry	1,679	1,565	113	0.278
4th Quarter after Entry	1,833	1,783	49	0.657
5th Quarter after Entry	1,821	1,880	-60	0.613
6th Quarter after Entry	1,889	1,776	112	0.343
1st Year after Entry	\$6,087	\$5,770	\$316	0.342

Table A2.30: Amounts Earned by Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	\$6,277	\$5,710	\$567	0.145
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	\$5,923	\$5,452	\$470	0.515
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	\$4,216	\$4,057	\$159	0.779

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A2.31: Total Income of Custodial Mothers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$2,004	\$1,959	\$46	0.513
1st Quarter after Entry	2,522	2,445	77	0.353
2nd Quarter after Entry	2,407	2,177	230	0.013
3rd Quarter after Entry	2,450	2,306	143	0.188
4th Quarter after Entry	2,560	2,465	95	0.399
5th Quarter after Entry	2,545	2,567	-22	0.857
6th Quarter after Entry	2,625	2,507	118	0.333
1st Year after Entry	\$9,938	\$9,393	\$545	0.104

Table A2.32: Total Income of Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	\$9,986	\$9,240	\$746	0.055
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	\$11,955	\$11,092	\$863	0.245
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	\$9,368	\$9,196	\$172	0.761

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A2.33: Percentage of Legal Noncustodial Fathers with Earnings

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=420)	Control Group (N=382)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	57.4%	52.4%	5.0%	0.205
1st Quarter after Entry	54.4	48.0	6.4	0.098
2nd Quarter after Entry	54.2	46.8	7.4	0.057
3rd Quarter after Entry	55.6	48.4	7.3	0.061
4th Quarter after Entry	54.7	48.8	5.9	0.128
5th Quarter after Entry	52.8	50.0	2.8	0.474
6th Quarter after Entry	51.2	52.0	-0.8	0.838
1st Year after Entry	66.0%	58.9%	7.1%	0.057

Table A2.34: Percentage of Legal Noncustodial Fathers with Earnings, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=259)	Control Group (N=246)		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=259)	(N=246)		
1st Year after Entry	68.6%	66.6%	2.0%	0.665
Higher Child Support History	(N=184)	(N=174)		
1st Year after Entry	78.4%	70.5%	8.0%	0.181
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=170)	(N=142)		
1st Year after Entry	71.7%	62.7%	9.0%	0.150

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A2.35: Amounts Earned by Legal Noncustodial Fathers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=420)	Control Group (N=382)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$2,440	\$2,063	\$377	0.030
1st Quarter after Entry	2,325	1,933	392	0.030
2nd Quarter after Entry	2,301	1,873	428	0.012
3rd Quarter after Entry	2,400	2,116	284	0.116
4th Quarter after Entry	2,486	2,284	202	0.314
5th Quarter after Entry	2,437	2,247	190	0.333
6th Quarter after Entry	2,428	2,226	202	0.321
1st Year after Entry	\$9,512	\$8,205	\$1,307	0.049

Table A2.36: Amounts Earned by Legal Noncustodial Fathers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=259)	(N=246)		
1st Year after Entry	\$10,413	\$9,558	\$856	0.306
Higher Child Support History	(N=184)	(N=174)		
1st Year after Entry	\$14,819	\$12,543	\$2,276	0.148
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=170)	(N=142)		
1st Year after Entry	\$11,084	\$9,545	\$1,539	0.159

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type. Legal fathers are those whose paternity was legally established when the mother entered W-2. Sample excludes cases with no recorded Social Security number.

Table A2.37: Net Government Costs for Custodial Mothers

Time Period	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group (N=541)	Control Group (N=528)		
Quarter Mother Entered W-2	\$1,786	\$1,875	\$-88	0.115
1st Quarter after Entry	2,344	2,236	108	0.135
2nd Quarter after Entry	1,742	1,692	51	0.563
3rd Quarter after Entry	1,524	1,462	62	0.465
4th Quarter after Entry	1,470	1,437	32	0.713
5th Quarter after Entry	1,397	1,320	77	0.384
6th Quarter after Entry	1,323	1,285	38	0.684
1st Year after Entry	\$7,079	\$6,826	\$253	0.373

Table A2.38: Net Government Costs for Custodial Mothers, by Subgroup

Subgroup	Cohort 2 - Outside Milwaukee County		Impact	P-value
	Experimental Group	Control Group		
Mother Has No Recent AFDC History	(N=412)	(N=407)		
1st Year after Entry	\$6,691	\$6,490	\$201	0.506
Higher Child Support History	(N=133)	(N=130)		
1st Year after Entry	\$7,398	\$7,318	\$80	0.903
Mother Entered in Lower Tier	(N=168)	(N=167)		
1st Year after Entry	\$8,295	\$8,060	\$234	0.672

Notes: Regression-adjusted predictions. Differences that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold type.