On the 50th anniversary of the War on Poverty in January 2014, poverty remains a salient policy issue, and dramatic changes in family life have made it even more difficult to design and carry out effective antipoverty policies. I am happy to introduce this issue of Focus, which includes an important essay on the rise and fall of poverty as a policy issue since the declaration of the War on Poverty, and summaries of papers by emerging social science scholars on topics related to family complexity. The set of four family complexity articles is introduced by the conveners of IRP’s Family Complexity, Poverty, and Public Policy conference held in July 2013. The senior scholars’ papers and commentaries from that conference will be published in July 2014 in The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science (vol. 654).

We lead off with an engaging essay from researcher and IRP affiliate Tom Corbett, adapted from introductory remarks he delivered at IRP’s inaugural Teaching Poverty 101 Workshop. This event brought together over two dozen instructors for an intensive four-day workshop on developing college-level courses in poverty and inequality. Corbett served as Associate Director of IRP, and had a long and varied career as a poverty researcher and policymaker. He has worked on welfare reform issues at all levels of government, including as a senior policy advisor at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. He also created the Welfare Peer Assistance Network (WELPAN) concept in the mid-1990s, and worked with current IRP Associate Director Jennifer Noyes in bringing together senior state welfare officials for discussions on welfare reform. Using personal observations from his many vantage points, Corbett provides a detailed and compelling summary of the history of poverty as a policy issue in the United States, its rise and its wane.

Next is a set of articles summarizing work commissioned by IRP as part of a major three-year research project on family complexity, poverty, and public policy that began in 2011. Big changes in family complexity have been one of the most important demographic shifts of the past 50 years. Marriage rates have declined, while divorce rates have risen, and individuals tend to marry later, or not at all. Cohabitation before marriage has become much more common, and the rate of births to unmarried parents has risen dramatically. Importantly, many children born to unmarried parents will experience complex families; in fact, the majority will have at least one half-sibling by their father or their mother from the time of birth. Together, these changes have resulted in an increase in family instability, and have broad implications for poverty policy. Marcia Carlson and Daniel Meyer begin this set with a concise introduction of the topic, and a description of the research questions addressed by each article. The first article in the set, by Rebecca Ryan, Amy Claessens, and Anna Markowitz, examines how changes in family structure are related to changes in children’s behavioral problems. They argue that family changes early in a child’s life, particularly those from two-biological-parent families to single-parent families, may in fact increase children’s behavioral problems, but that these effects are not uniform across income levels. Instead, they suggest that children in disadvantaged families, though they tend to experience a higher degree of family instability, are less affected by this instability—both for better and for worse—than are children in more advantaged families.

Second, Laura Tach and Alicia Eads look at the economic costs of family dissolution. They argue that while the net economic consequences of divorce for women and children have changed little since the 1980s, the economic consequences of cohabitation dissolution have increased substantially over that time, so that mothers’ income losses after the end of a cohabiting relationship now more closely resemble those of divorced mothers. They attribute this to the rising earnings of male cohabiting partners, combined with smaller growth in women’s earnings and compensating government transfers.

The third article, by Kristin Turney, examines the relationship between incarceration and relationship dissolution, and finds that among couples with children, incarceration leads to a higher likelihood of a breakup while the children are young, with
no significant differences in how incarceration affects the relationships of married, cohabiting, and nonresidential couples. She argues that this association is explained by the suspended status of incarcerated men who are at once members of families, but also isolated from those families.

Finally, Christine Percheski and Rachel Kimbro consider how the Great Recession affected fertility for married women, cohabiting women, unpartnered women, and teenagers. They argue that poor economic conditions are generally associated with a lower likelihood of pregnancy, although the effects differ by population subgroup. Unpartnered and married women showed the largest decreases in pregnancies in response to the recession, though married Hispanic women had higher rates of pregnancy as state poverty rates rose.

As always, IRP is on the lookout for good opportunities to stimulate research, train young researchers, analyze policy, and improve program performance and practice to better the lot of the poor. We welcome any ideas you may have that will help us to achieve these goals.

—Timothy M. Smeeding, IRP Director